Calendar No. 104

111TH CONGRESS *1st Session* | SENATE | REPORT 111–45

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2010

JULY 9, 2009.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. DORGAN, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 1436]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 1436) making appropriations for energy and water development and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

The Committee on Appropriations, to which was referred the bill (H.R. 0000) making appropriations for energy and water development and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, reports the same to the Senate with an amendment, and an amendment to the title, and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.

Amount in new budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010

Total of bill as reported to the Senate	$34,271,000,000
Amount of 2009 appropriations	92,533,165,000
Amount of 2010 budget estimate	34,914,709,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
2009 appropriations	−58,262,165,000
2010 budget estimate	−643,709,000

50–870 PDF

[In thousands of dollars]

Project Name	Amount
TOTAL, Projects Migrating from Construction to O&M	47,067

The projects that are included in the line item above for the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities are listed in the CG table.

Due to constrained funding, the Committee reduced the requested amounts for some administration projects. This should not be perceived as a lack of support for any of these projects, rather it is an attempt by the Committee to balance out the program across the Nation and fund most of the projects or studies that were funded in fiscal year 2009 but were not addressed by the administration proposal.

Even with a $280,000,000 increase to the Corps' accounts, the Committee is unable to address all of the needs. The CG account is down by $80,500,000 from the fiscal year 2009 Senate proposal. By the Committee's estimate, less than 60 percent of the needed funding is available for this account. Construction schedules will slip due to this constrained funding. This will result in deferred benefits to the national economy. The Committee does not believe that there is any way to prioritize the projects to alleviate this problem without serious unintended consequences. Adequate resources have been denied for too long. Only providing adequate resources for these national investments will resolve this situation.

The Committee deleted the new construction starts requested by the Administration as sufficient funding does not exist in the current budget nor is there reasonable assurance that sufficient funds will be available in the future to accommodate these new items as well as ongoing work.

The appropriation provides funds for the Continuing Authorities Program (projects which do not require specific authorizing legislation), which includes projects for flood control (section 205), emergency streambank and shoreline protection (section 14), beach erosion control (section 103), mitigation of shore damages (section 111), navigation projects (section 107), snagging and clearing (section 208), aquatic ecosystem restoration (section 206), beneficial uses of dredged material (section 204), and project modifications for improvement of the environment (section 1135).

The budget request and the approved Committee allowance are shown on the following table:

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
ALABAMA		
TUSCALOOSA, AL		10,000
ALASKA		
ALASKA COASTAL EROSION, AK		2,000
ST. PAUL HARBOR, AK	3,000	3,000
UNALASKA, AK		2,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
ARKANSAS		
RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, LA, AR & TX	.....	2,000
RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR & TX	.....	2,000
CALIFORNIA		
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (COMMON FEATURES), CA	6,700	6,700
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM MODIFICATIONS), C	66,700	66,700
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM RAISE), CA	600	600
CALFED LEVEE STABILITY PROGRAM, CA	.....	5,000
CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA	.....	500
FARMINGTON RECHARGE, CA	.....	1,000
GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	.....	304
HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA	14,250	14,250
KAWEAH RIVER, CA	640	640
LOS ANGELES HARBOR MAIN CHANNEL DEEPENING, CA	885	885
MID VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	.....	2,000
MURRIETA CREEK, CA	.....	2,000
NAPA RIVER, CA	5,000	1,000
NAPA RIVER SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	6,750	.....
OAKLAND HARBOR (50-FOOT PROJECT), CA	1,000	1,000
PLACER COUNTY, CA	.....	2,000
SACRAMENTO DEEPWATER SHIP CHANNEL, CA	10,000	10,000
SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	15,000	15,000
SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA	.....	500
SAN LUIS REY RIVER, CA	.....	2,500
SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	52,193	52,193
SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA	2,500	2,500
SUCCESS DAM, TULE RIVER (DAM SAFETY), CA	10,000	10,000
TAHOE BASIN RESTORATION, CA	.....	3,000
UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	.....	2,000
WEST SACRAMENTO, CA	2,955	.....
YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	.....	2,000
DELAWARE		
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, ROOSEVELT INLET TO LEWES BEACH	.....	350
DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE	.....	390
DELAWARE COAST FROM CAPE HELOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, BE	.....	1,750
DELAWARE COAST FROM CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, R	.....	2,000
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
WASHINGTON, DC & VICINITY	6,790	.....
FLORIDA		
BREVARD COUNTY, FL (MID AND SOUTH REACHES)	.....	500
CEDAR HAMMOCK, WARES CREEK, FL	5,565	5,565
HERBERT HOOVER DIKE, FL (SEEPAGE CONTROL)	130,000	130,000
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	.....	950
LEE COUNTY, FL	.....	1,400
MARTIN COUNTY, FL	350	350
PANAMA CITY BEACHES, FL	.....	500
PINELLAS COUNTY, FL	6,000	6,000
SOUTH FLORIDA EVERGLADES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	214,357	163,402
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	(163,771)	(117,004)
EVERGLADES AND S. FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION,	(1,725)	(1,725)
KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL	(44,673)	(44,673)
MODIFIED WATER DELIVERIES, FL	(4,188)	.....
TAMPA HARBOR, FL	.....	500
GEORGIA		
ATLANTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, GA	.....	1,000
RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	1,615	1,615

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA		1,000
HAWAII		
IAO STREAMS, HI		250
HAWAII WATER MANAGEMENT, HI		2,000
KAHUKU, HI		4,360
IDAHO		
RURAL IDAHO		2,000
ILLINOIS		
ALTON TO GALE LEVEE DISTRICT, IL & MO (DEF CORR)	300	300
CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR)	6,500	6,500
CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL, DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL	5,000	5,000
CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL		3,500
DES PLAINES RIVER, IL	3,300	6,800
EAST ST LOUIS, IL	2,000	2,000
EAST ST. LOUIS AND VICINITY, IL		540
MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL	25,000	25,000
NUTWOOD DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL		300
OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY	109,790	105,000
UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION, IL, IA, MN, MO &	20,000	18,000
WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL	1,170	1,170
INDIANA		
INDIANA HARBOR CONFINED DISPOSAL FACILITY, IN [1]		13,500
LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN	20,000	20,000
MT. ZION MILL POND DAM, FULTON COUNTY, IN		575
IOWA		
DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA		2,700
DES MOINES RECREATIONAL RIVER AND GREENBELT, IA		4,300
MISSOURI RIVER FISH MITIGATION, IA, KS, MO, MT, NE	70,000	60,000
KANSAS		
TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	2,500	3,500
KENTUCKY		
KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, TENNESSEE RIVER, KY	1,000	1,000
MARKLAND LOCKS AND DAM, KY, IL (MAJOR REHAB) [1]	1,000	1,000
WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY (SEEPAGE CONTROL)	123,000	123,000
LOUISIANA		
ASCENSION PARISH, LA [EI]		1,000
COMITE RIVER, LA		8,000
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA [FC]		3,000
EAST BATON ROUGE, LA [EI]		500
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	7,000	7,000
LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA [CG]	1,200	5,800
LIVINGSTON PARISH, LA [EI]		500
MARYLAND		
ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MD		1,000
ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD		4,500
CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND PROTECTION		1,000
CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD & VA		2,000
POPLAR ISLAND, MD		8,550
MASSACHUSETTS		
MUDDY RIVER, MA	4,000	5,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
MICHIGAN		
GENESEE COUNTY, MI		600
GEORGE W. KUHN DRAIN PROJECT, MI		300
GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MI		2,000
NEGAUNEE, MI		1,000
SAULT STE MARIE REPLACEMENT LOCK, MI		1,000
MINNESOTA		
BRECKENRIDGE, MN		5,000
ROSEAU, MN		2,500
MISSISSIPPI		
DESOTO COUNTY REGIONAL WASTEWATER SYSTEM, MS		8,000
MISSISSIPPI ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, MS		10,000
MISSOURI		
BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO		750
BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO	5,600	5,600
CHESTERFIELD, MO	3,331	3,331
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO (SEEPAGE CONTROL)	40,000	40,000
KANSAS CITYS, MO & KS	700	
MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY CITY LEVEE, MO		1,525
MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO	580	580
MISSOURI AND MIDDLE MISSISSIPI RIVERS ENHANCEMENT, MO		1,000
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM (L–385), MO, IA, NE, KS		2,500
ST. LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO	566	566
SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO		4,000
MONTANA		
FORT PECK CABIN CONVEYANCE, MT		1,869
RURAL MONTANA, MT		5,000
NEBRASKA		
ANTELOPE CREEK, NE	5,697	5,697
NEVADA		
RURAL NEVADA [EI], NV		15,000
NEW JERSEY		
BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ		5,000
BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET (ABSECON IS		2,000
BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, BRIGANTINE		80
CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ		200
DELAWARE RIVER MAIN CHANNEL DEEPENING, NJ, PA, DE		10,000
GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET & PECK BEACH, NJ	6,500	6,500
GREAT EGG HARBOR TO TOWNSENDS INLET, NJ		3,500
HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ		500
JOSEPH G. MINISH WATERFRONT, NJ		3,000
LOWER CAP MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT, NJ		400
PASSAIC RIVER BASIN FLOOD MGMT, NJ		1,000
RAMAPO RIVER AT MAHWAH AND SUFFERN, NJ		200
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ		2,000
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, PORT MONMOUTH, NJ		2,000
RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ	7,000	7,000
SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ		2,000
TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ		2,000
NEW MEXICO		
ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM		500
ALAMOGORDO, NM		4,200
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELE		800

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE,	800	800
SOUTHWEST VALLEY ALBUQUERQUE, NM		4,000
NEW YORK		
ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT,	3,000	3,000
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY	5,800	5,800
LONG BEACH ISLAND, NY	700	700
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ	64,716	60,000
NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED, NY		1,000
NEW YORK STATE CANAL, NY		1,000
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY		1,000
ORCHARD BEACH, BRONX, NY		1,000
NORTH CAROLINA		
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC		900
CAROLINA BEACH AND VICINITY, NC	1,500	1,500
WEST ONSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER INLET, NC	400	400
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	1,800	1,800
NORTH DAKOTA		
GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (REPLACEMENT)	8,620	8,620
GRAND FORKS, ND—EAST GRAND FORKS, MN		2,617
MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, ND		300
NORTH DAKOTA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, ND		15,000
OHIO		
DOVER DAM, MUSKINGUM RIVER, OH (DAM SAFETY ASSURANCE)	18,500	18,500
HOLES CREEK, WEST CARROLLTON, OHIO		1,656
OHIO EI, OH		1,000
OKLAHOMA		
CANTON LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	24,250	24,250
OREGON		
COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA	500	500
ELK CREEK LAKE, OR	500	500
WILLAMETTE TEMPERATURE CONTROL, OR	11,000	11,000
PENNSYLVANIA		
EMSWORTH L&D, OHIO RIVER, PA (STATIC INSTABILITY CORRE	25,000	25,000
LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	6,210	6,210
PRESQUE ISLE, PA	1,000	1,000
WYOMING VALLEY (LEVEE RAISING), PA		1,200
PUERTO RICO		
PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR	45,000	42,000
RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	5,000	5,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD		4,000
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL DAM (SEEPAGE CONTROL), TN	56,000	56,000
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	1,000	1,000
TEXAS		
BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	7,300	5,300
CENTRAL CITY, FORT WORTH, UPPER TRINITY RIVER, TX		500
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX		2,000
DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER, TX		22,000
JOHNSON CREEK, UPPER TRINITY BASIN, ARLINGTON, TX		1,500

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
RED RIVER BASIN CHLORIDE CONTROL, TX & OK		1,000
SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX		5,000
SIMS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	25,700	18,000
TEXAS CITY CHANNEL, TX	8,000	6,000
UTAH		
RURAL UTAH, UT [EI]		20,000
VERMONT		
BURLINGTON HARBOR, VT		500
LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED INITIATE, VT		1,000
VIRGINIA		
AIWW, BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK, VA	1,500	
JAMES RIVER DEEPWATER TURNING BASIN, VA		2,234
JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (REPLACEMENT)	16,915	16,915
NORFOLK HARBOR, CRANEY ISLAND, VA	28,500	
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA		1,000
RICHMOND CSO, VA		150
ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA	1,075	1,075
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH GAS ABATEMENT, WA	1,000	1,000
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, OR & WA	95,800	85,000
DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA	2,600	2,600
HOWARD HANSEN DAM, WA	13,000	13,000
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, WA, OR	1,650	1,650
LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE COMP, WA, OR, ID	1,500	1,500
MT. ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	1,500	1,500
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA	400	400
PUGET SOUND AND ADJACENT WATERS RESTORATION, WA		200
SHOALWATER BAY SHORELINE, WA		5,000
WEST VIRGINIA		
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	86,700	86,700
GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV		1,500
ISLAND CREEK BASIN IN AND AROUND LOGAN, WV, VA		21,750
LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V		6,750
VIRGINIA		(4,000)
WEST VIRGINIA		(2,750)
LOWER MUD RIVER, MILTON, WV		1,955
SUBTOTAL, FOR PROJECTS	1,610,020	1,815,414
NATIONAL PROGRAMS:		
AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL	4,000	5,000
GUNTERSVILLE LAKE HYDRILLA/MILFOIL		(150)
FIFE LAKE AQUATIC WEED CONTROL, MI		(145)
BLACK LAKE, OGDENSBURG, NY		(100)
SODUS BAY, NY		(100)
LAKE CHAUTAUQUA, JAMESTOWN, NY		(50)
LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT		(500)
CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAM		
AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206)	6,967	25,000
UPPER YORK CREEK DAM REMOVAL, CA		
GOOSE CREEK, CO		
TAMARISK ERADICATION ALONG THE COLORADO RIVER,		
MILL RIVER RESTORATION, STAMFORD, CT		
CHATTAHOOCHEE FALL-LINE ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION		
JACKSON CREEK, GWINNETT CO, GA		
LITTLE RIVER WATERSHED, HALL COUNTY, GA		

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
PARADISE CREEK, ID		
CHARITON RIVER AND RATHBUN LAKE WATERSHED, IA		
EMIQUON PRESERVE, IL		
ORLAND PARK, IL		
CHARITON RIVER/RATHBUN LAKE, IA		
CLEAR CREEK AND IOWA RIVER, JOHNSON COUNTY, IA		
DUCK CREEK, DAVENPORT, IA		
STORM LAKE, IA		
VENTURA MARSH, IA		
WHITEBREAST CREEK WATERSHED, IA		
BURAS MARINA, LA		
FALSE RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, POINT COUPE		
LAKE KILLARNEY, LOUISIANA STATE PENITENTIARY,		
ZEMURRAY PARK LAKE RESTORATION, TANGIPAHOA PAR		
MALDEN RIVER ECOSYSTEM, MA		
MILFORD POND RESTORATION, MILFORD, MA		
DEEP RUN/TIBER HUDSON, HOWARD COUNTY, MD		
DOG ISLAND SHOALS, MD		
GREENBURY POINT, MD		
NORTH BEACH, MD		
NORTHWEST BRANCH, ANACOSTIA RIVER, MD		
PAINT BRANCH FISH PASSAGE, MD		
PAINTER CREEK, MN		
MUSCONETCONG RIVER DAM REMOVALS, NJ		
BLUE HOLE LAKE STATE PARK, NM		
JANES-WALLACE MEMORIAL DAM, SANTA ROSA, NM		
KINGS PARK, NY		
MUD CREEK, GREAT SOUTH BAY, NY		
SOUNDVIEW PARK, BRONX, NY		
SPRING CREEK, NY		
CONCORD STREAMS RESTORATION, NC		
WESTERN CARY STREAM RESTORATION, CARY, NC		
WILSON BAY RESTORATION, JACKSONVILLE, NC		
DRAYTON DAM, ND		
CAMP CREEK, ZUMWALT PRAIRIE PRESERVE, OR		
EUGENE DELTA PONDS, OR		
KELLOGG CREEK, OR		
OAKS BOTTOM, OR		
SPRINGFIELD MILLRACE, OR		
CODORUS CREEK WATERSHED RESTORATION, PA		
NARROW RIVER, RI		
WINNEAPAUG POND RESTORATION, RI		
MOSES LAKE, TX		
RIO GRANDE ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LAREDO, TX		
SPRING LAKE, SAN MARCOS, TX		
STEPHENVILLE WWTP, TX		
CARPENTER CREEK, WA		
BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL (SECTION 204, 206, 933		10,000
BLACKHAWK BOTTOMS, IA		
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER, SHELL ISLAND PASS, ST. MAR		
CALC RV, MI 5—14 KS, LA		
HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL BARRIER ISLAND RESTORAT		
CAPE COD CANAL, SANDWICH, MA		
NEWBURY HARBOR, MA		
NJIWW BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGE, NJ		
NJIWW, DREDGED HOLE 35, NJ		
BUFFALO RIVER, NY		
MANTEO OLD HOUSE, NC		
MAUMEE BAY REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT, OH		
WYNN ROAD REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT, OH		
SOUTH PADRE ISLAND, TX		

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION (SECTION	1,477	7,000
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205)	12,049	38,000
INDIAN BAYOU, AR		
WYNNE, AR		
COSGROVE CREEK, CALAVERAS COUNTY, CA		
LAS GALLINAS CREEK/SANTA VENETIA LEVEE, CA		
PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE IMPROVEMENT, DE		
INDIAN/DRY CREEK CEDAR RAPIDS, IA		
MAD CREEK, MUSCATINE, IA		
WINNEBAGO RIVER, MASON CITY, IA		
CONCORDIA, KS		
EUREKA CREEK, MANHATTAN, KS		
NEODESHA, KS		
SEDGWICK, KS		
HOPKINSVILLE DRY-DAM, KY		
NORTH RIVER, PEABODY, MA		
MCKINNEY BAYOU, TUNICA COUNTY, MS		
BLACKSNAKE CREEK, ST. JOSEPH, MO		
LITTLE RIVER DIVERSION, DUTCHTOWN, MO		
LIVINGSTON, MT		
PLATT RIVER, FREMONT, NE		
PLATT RIVER, SCHUYLER, NE		
HATCH, NM		
ASSUNPINK CREEK, HAMILTON TOWNSHIP, MERCER CO		
JACKSON BROOK, NJ		
PENNSVILLE, NJ		
PORT JERVIS, NY		
BLANCHARD RIVER, OTTAWA, OH (OTTAWA, OH)		
DUCK CREEK, OH		
FINDLAY, OH		
PHILADELPHIA SHIPYARD SEA WALL, PHILADELPHIA,		
RIO DESCLABRADO, PR		
RIO GUAMANI-GUAYA, PR		
EAST PROVIDENCE, RI		
BEAVER CREEK AND TRIBS, BRISTOL, TN		
WV STATEWIDE FLOOD WARNING SYSTEM, WV		
NAVIGATION PROGRAM (SECTION 107)	1,436	7,000
SAVOONGA HARBOR, AK		
OYSTER POINT MARINA/PARK BREAKWATER, CA		
NAPOLEAN AVENUE CONTAINER TERMINAL ACCESS, NE		
BASS HARBOR, ME		
BUCKS HARBOR, MACHIASPORT, ME		
RHODES POINT, SOMERSET CO, MD		
WOODS HOLE, GREAT HARBOR, WOODS HOLE, MA		
MACKINAC ISLAND HARBOR BREAKWATER, MI		
NORTHWESTERN MICHIGAN, TRAVERSE CITY, MI		
HAMPTON HARBOR, NH		
DELAWARE RIVER, FAIRLESS TURNING BASIN, PA		
CHARLESTOWN BREACHWAY AND INLET, RI		
POINT JUDITH HARBOR, EXPANSION STUDY, RI		
NORTHWEST TENNESSEE REGIONAL HARBOR, TN		
TANGIER ISLAND JETTY, VA		
MITIGATION OF SHORE DAMAGES (SECTION 111)		9,043
MOBILE PASS, AL		
EAST PASS CHANNEL, PANAMA CITY, FL		
BRUN HARBOR/JEKYLL IMPROVEMENTS, GA		
CAMP ELLIS, SACO, ME		
MANISTEE HARBOR AND RIVER CHANNEL, MI		
MATTITUCK HARBOR, NY		
FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH		
VERMILLION HARBOR, OH		

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
WHITCOMB FLATS, WA		
PROJECT MODS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT (SECTI	5,736	25,000
LOWER CACHE RESTORATION, AR		
MILLWOOD LAKE, GRASSY LAKE, AR		
LOWER KINGMAN ISLAND, DC		
BRAIDED REACH, ID		
SHORTY'S ISLAND, ID		
RATHBUN LAKE HABITAT RESTORATION, IA		
GREEN RIVER DAM MOD, KY		
BAYOU DESIARD, MONROE, LA		
HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL MILE 12—31.4 RESTORATION		
MORGANZA FORE-BAY RESTORATION, LA		
BLOOMINGTON STATE PARK, MO		
BLUE VALLEY WETLANDS, JACKSON COUNTY, MO		
DUCK CREEK, STODDARD COUNTY, MO		
LINCOLN PARK WEST, ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION STUD		
LAS CRUCES DAM ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DON		
AQUATIC HABITAT RESTORATION at PUEBLO OF SANTA ANA, NM		
NORTHPORT HARBOR, NY		
SMOKES CREEK, NY		
PRISON FARM SHORELINE HABITAT, ND		
TAPPAN LAKE, OH		
LOWER COLUMBIA SLOUGH, OR		
WALLA WALLA RIVER, OR		
LAKE CHAMPLAIN LAMPREY BARRIERS, VT		
BENNINGTON LAKE DIVERSION DAM, WA		
SHORE PROTECTION (SECTION 103)	680	4,230
BAY FARM ISLAND, CA [BAY FARM DIKE, CA]		
GOLETA BEACH, CA		
PISMO BEACH, CA		
PROSPECT BEACH, WEST HAVEN, CT		
COASTAL AREAS, MARSHFIELD, MA		
SEASIDE PARK, OCEAN COUNTY, NJ		
FORT SAN GERONIMO, PR		
LINCOLN PARK BEACH, WA		
SNAGGING AND CLEARING (SECTION 208)	200	200
CAMP BAYOU CANAL, MOREHOUSE PARISH, LA		
SNAGGING AND CLEARING UPPER BAYOU BOEUF, LA		
AUGLAIZE RIVER, OH		
BLACKWELL LAKE, BLACKWELL, OK		
SWCD FLOOD REDUCTION, OR		
DAM SAFETY AND SEEPAGE/STABILITY CORRECTION PROGRAM	49,100	49,100
DAM SAFETY ASSURANCE STUDIES:		
ISABELLA DAM, CA.		
MARTIS CREEK DAM, CA & NV		
CHERRY CREEK DAM, CO		
DWORSHAK DAM, ID		
JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA		
SEEPAGE/STABILITY CORRECTION STUDIES:		
BLAKELY MOUNTAIN DAM, AR		
HIDDEN DAM, CA		
SAN ANTONIO DAM, CA		
TERMINUS DAM, CA		
WHITTIER NARROWS DAM, CA		
TRINIDAD DAM, CO		
HOP BROOK DAM, CT		
MANSFIELD HOLLOW DAM, CT		
HERBERT HOOVER DIKE (REACH 2&3), FL		
DWORSHAK DAM, ID		
LA GRANGE L&D, IL		
LAKE SHELBYVILLE DAM, IL		

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, LOCK AND DAM 24, IL&MO		
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, LOCK AND DAM 25, IL&MO		
THOMAS J. O'BRIEN CONTROLLING WORKS L&D, IL		
BROOKVILLE DAM, IN		
J. EDWARD ROUSH DAM, IN		
PATOKA LAKE DAM, IN		
SALAMONIE LAKE DAM, IN		
HARTFORD LEVEE AT JOHN REDMOND, KS		
GREEN RIVER LAKE DAM, KY		
MARKLAND LOCKS AND DAM, KY & OH		
NOLIN LAKE DAM, KY		
ROUGH RIVER LAKE, DAM, KY		
SALAMONIE LAKE DAM, KY		
RUSSELL B. LONG L&D, LA		
WESTVILLE LAKE DAM, MA		
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LOCK AND DAM 1, MN		
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LOCK AND DAM 2, MN		
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LOCK AND DAM 3, MN		
ORWELL RESERVOIR DAM, MN		
ARKABUTLA, MS		
CAPE FEAR RIVER LOCK AND DAM 1, NC		
BEACH CITY DAM, OH		
BOLIVAR DAM, OH		
DELAWARE DAM, OH		
MAGNOLIA LEVEE,(BOLIVAR DAM), OH		
MOHAWK DAM, OH		
CUMBERLAND DIKE—TEXOMA, OK		
KEYSTONE LAKE DAM, OK		
ROBERT S. KERR LOCK AND DAM, OK		
BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA		
FOSTER DAM, OR		
WILLAMETTE FALLS LOCK, OR		
CHARLEROIS (MONOR), PA		
CURWENSVILLE DAM, PA		
EAST BRANCH DAM, CLARION RIVER, PA		
HAMMOND DAM, PA		
MONTGOMERY LOCKS AND DAM, PA		
J. PERCY PRIEST, TN		
ADDICKS DAM, BUFFALO BAYOU, TX		
BARKER DAM (BUFFALO BAYOU,), TX		
LEWISVILLE DAM, TX		
PROCTOR DAM, TX		
STILLHOUSE-HOLLOW DAM, TX		
TOWN BLUFF DAM, TX		
WHITNEY LEVEE, TX		
BALL MOUNTAIN DAM, VT		
HOWARD HANSEN DAM, WA		
MILL CREEK AND MILL CREEK DIVERSION DAM, WA		
NEW CUMBERLAND L&D, WV		
CEDARS LOCK AND DAM, WI		
DEPERE GEN LAWS, WI		
LITTLE CHUTE, WI		
RAPIDE CROCHE LOCK AND DAM, WI		
DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITIES PROGRAM [DMDF]		5,199
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL 1		(1,000)
SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA 1		(900)
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN 1		(1,501)
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC 1		(1,798)
EMPLOYEES COMPENSATION FUND	21,000	21,000
ESTUARY RESTORATION PROGRAM (PUBLIC LAW 106–457)	5,000	1,000
INLAND WATERWAYS USER BOARD (BOARD EXPENSES)	60	60

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation
INLAND WATERWAYS USER BOARD (COE EXP)	275	275
SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	.....	1,000
SUBTOTAL, FOR PROJECTS NOT LISTED UNDER STATES	107,980	208,107
SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	.....	−100,426
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	1,718,000	1,924,000

Alabama-Coosa-Tallapoosa [ACT], Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint [ACF] Rivers, Alabama, Florida, and Georgia.—The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to provide an updated calculation of the critical yield of all Federal projects in the ACF river basin and an updated calculation of the critical yield of all Federal projects in the ACT river basin within 120 days of enactment of this Act.

Tuscaloosa, Alabama.—The Committee recommends $10,000,000 for the relocation project at Tuscaloosa, Alabama.

Unalaska, Alaska.—The Committee recommends $2,000,000 for continuation of this navigation project.

Ozark-Jeta Taylor, Arkansas.—The Committee has included no funding to complete the continuing contract for this project that was not funded in the budget request. It is the Committee's understanding that the administration has committed to fund this project through surplus ARRA funds.

Red River Below Denison Dam, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma and Texas.—The Committee recommends $2,000,000 to continue levee rehabilitation work in Arkansas and Louisiana to protect the 1.7 million-acre flood plain from crop damage; loss of livestock; damage to levees, railroads, highways, industries, and other river and urban developments.

Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas and Louisiana.—The Committee recommends $2,000,000 for protection of critical infrastructure and land along the Red River below Index, Arkansas. The project plan provides for revetment, dikes, or cutoffs that can be accomplished in advance of developing the design for the entire project.

Mid Valley Area Levee Reconstruction, California.—The Committee recommendation includes $2,000,000 reconstruction of this flood control project. The project includes levee reconstruction through installing landside berms with toe drains, ditch relocation, embankment modification, slurry cut-off walls, and developing land for fish and wildlife mitigation.

Napa River, California.—The Committee recommendation includes $1,000,000 rather than the $5,000,000 proposed in the budget. This amount was reduced in recognition of the nearly $100,000,000 this project received in the ARRA not due to any diminution of support for the project by the Committee.

Napa River Salt Marsh, California.—The Committee has not recommended any funding for this new construction start proposed by the administration.

Santa Ana River, California.—The Committee recommends $52,193,000 to continue construction of this flood control project.

West Sacramento, California.—The Committee recommendation included funding for the General Reevaluation Report in the General Investigations Table.

Delaware Bay Coastline, Roosevelt Inlet to Lewes Beach, Delaware.—The Committee has funded this item in this table. The budget request is shown in the O&M table as proposed by the administration.

Delaware Coast Protection, Delaware.—The Committee recommendation includes $390,000 to reimburse the State for the Federal share of the annual operation and maintenance of the sand bypass facilities.

Washington, DC and Vicinity, District of Columbia.—The Committee recommendation has provided no funding for this new construction start proposed by the administration.

Everglades and South Florida Ecosystem Restoration, Florida.—The Committee has chosen to display the various, separately authorized components of the project in the table in addition to a single line item as was proposed in the budget. The Committee believes that it is prudent to maintain visibility of the various project elements in the budget process. The Committee has deleted the two new start requests proposed by the administration for the Everglades these are Site one and Indian River Lagoon-South. The total for these new construction starts is $46,767,000 and the Central and Southern Florida line item has been reduced by this amount. This should not be interpreted as a reduction of support by this Committee for this project. Even without the new starts, the funding level for fiscal year 2010 is nearly $40,000,000 more than what was provided in fiscal year 2009. Since fiscal year 2000 this Committee has provided more than $1,300,000,000 in funding for Everglades restoration projects which is nearly twice the level of resources received by any other construction project in the same period.

The Committee has provided no funding for the Modified Waters Delivery Plan ($4,188,000) as proposed in the budget. The Committee directed in the fiscal year 2009 Omnibus that this project should be funded through the Interior appropriations budget in future years. The Committee again directs the administration to include the Modified Waters Delivery Plan funding in the Interior budget in future budget submissions.

Central and South Florida, Florida.—Within the funds recommended, the Corps shall continue work on the Upper St. Johns River project.

Jacksonville Harbor, Florida.—The Committee has recommended $950,000 to continue work on the general reevaluation report concerning further channel deepening.

Tampa Harbor, Florida.—$500,000 is provided to complete the preconstruction engineering and design of navigation improvements and channel deepening.

Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal, Illinois.—The Committee has recommended $5,000,000 for construction on aquatic nuisance species Barriers I and II.

Olmsted Locks and Dam, Ohio River, Illinois and Kentucky.—The Committee recommends $105,000,000 to continue construction of this project. None of the funds provided for the Olmsted Locks and Dam Project or any other construction funds are to be used to reimburse the Claims and Judgment Fund.

Upper Mississippi River Restoration, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri and Wisconsin.—The Corps is directed to complete a plan to transition this project to the Navigation and Ecosystem Sustainability Program [NESP] for the Upper Mississippi River System [UMRS]. Funding for NESP is dependent on a solution to shortfalls in the Inland Waterway Trust Fund, therefore a transition to NESP is not anticipated in the immediate future. However, in order to facilitate the eventual transition, while maintaining the Corps ecosystem restoration capacity on the UMRS, the Corps is directed to limit planning or construction under this authority to projects that can be completed or readily transferred to NESP within 2 years of NESP receiving sufficient construction funding to support program transition.

Indiana Harbor (Confined Disposal Facility), Indiana.—The Committee has recommended funding for this project in the CG account rather than in the O&M account as proposed by the administration.

Missouri Fish and Wildlife Recovery, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota and South Dakota.—The Committee recommends $60,000,000 for this project. Within the recommended funds, $18,000,000 is to be used for modifications to the Intake Dam to provide additional habitat for the pallid sturgeon.

Turkey Creek, Kansas and Missouri.—The Committee recommendation includes $3,500,000 to continue construction of this project.

Kentucky Lock and Dam, Tennessee River, Kentucky.—The Committee recommends $1,000,000 for continuation of the project. Funding deficits in the Inland Waterway Trust Fund prohibit the Committee from providing additional funds for the upstream lock excavation contract. The Committee recognizes that this is a critical path contract for the overall schedule. However, until the revenue stream for the Inland Waterway Trust Fund is enhanced, the Committee actions will be limited by available Trust Fund revenues.

Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana.—The Committee has recommended $5,800,000 to continue efforts to provide 100-year flood protection for this project. Surveys show the levee grade is deficient by 12–18 inches.

Assateague Island Restoration, Maryland.—The Committee has recommended funding for this project in the CG table as has been the Committee tradition. The budget request is shown in O&M as proposed by the administration.

Chesapeake Bay Environmental Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania and Virginia.—The Committee has recommended $1,000,000 for continuation of this project that is integral to the clean-up of the Chesapeake Bay.

Chesapeake Bay Oyster Recovery, Maryland and Virginia.—The Committee recommends $2,000,000 to continue oyster recovery efforts.

Poplar Island, Maryland.—The Committee has recommended funding for this project in the CG table as has been the Committee tradition. The budget request is shown in O&M as proposed by the administration.

Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas.—The Committee has not recommended any funding for this new construction start proposed by the administration.

Meramec River Basin, Valley City, Missouri.—The Committee recommendation includes $1,525,000 for additional flood risk management features to address problems that became apparent during the 2008 flood.

Fort Peck Dam and Lake, Montana.—The Committee recommendation includes $1,869,000 for continuation of the disposition of Fort Peck cabins.

Rural Nevada, Nevada.—The Committee recommendation provides $15,000,000 for this project. Within the funds provided the Corps should give consideration to projects at North Lemmon Valley; Spanish Springs Phased Sewering Project; Indian Springs Wastewater Collection and Treatment System Project; the MoapaValley Wastewater Collection System Project; the Searchlight Water System Improvements Project; Huffaker Hills Water Conservation; Lawton-Verdi; Boulder City; Lyon County; Gerlach; Incline Village; Esmeralda County; Cold Springs; Fallon; Goldfield; Churchill County; West Wendover; Yearington; Virgin Valley Water District; Lovelock; Truckee Meadows Water Authority; McGill-Ruth Consolidated Sewer and Water District; Carlin; Eldorado Valley; Ely and Carson City. Other communities that meet the program criteria should be considered as funding allows.

Cape May Inlet to Lower Township, New Jersey.—The Committee has recommended funding for this project in the CG table as has been the Committee tradition. The budget request is shown in O&M as proposed by the administration.

Lower Cape May Meadows—Cape May Point, New Jersey.—The Committee has recommended funding for this project in the CG table as has been the Committee tradition. The budget request is shown in O&M as proposed by the administration.

Acequias Irrigation System, New Mexico.—The Committee recommends $500,000 to continue restoration of these historic irrigation distribution systems.

Middle Rio Grande Restoration, New Mexico.—The Committee recommendation includes $24,016,000 to continue environmental restoration efforts along the Rio Grande River within Bernalillo County.

North Dakota [EI], North Dakota.—The Committee has recommended $15,000,000 for this program. $7,900,000 is for the Traill Rural Water District; $3,000,000 is for the North Central Rural Water District; $950,000 is for the Barnes Rural Water District; $750,000 for the Williams Rural Water District; $600,000 for the Langdon Rural Water District; $425,000 for the North Prairie Rural Water District; and $375,000 for the Greater Ramsey Water District.

New York and New Jersey Harbor, New York and New Jersey.—The Committee recommends $60,000 for continued construction of this harbor project. The reduction made under this heading should

not be viewed as any diminution of support for this project, rather an attempt to balance out the Corps of Engineers nationwide program among the various missions of the Corps.

Ohio [EI], Ohio.—The Committee recommendation includes $1,000,000. These funds should be used for the Marietta WWTP and the Coalton Waterline.

Presque Isle, Pennsylvania.—The Committee recommends $1,000,000 to continue this project.

Big Sioux River, South Dakota.—The Committee recommends $4,000,000 to continue construction of this project. The Committee recognizes that, rightly or wrongly, there was an expectation by the project sponsors that this project was to be funded under the ARRA. When the project list was released, this project was not on the list due to the incredibly tortured criteria the administration utilized to decide which projects were funded. The Committee encourages the Corps to give consideration to funding this project if surplus ARRA funds become available.

Central City, Fort Worth, Upper Trinity River Basin, Texas.—The Committee recommendation includes $500,000 for the Central City, Fort Worth, Texas, project.

Red River Basin Chloride Control, Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas and Louisiana.—The Committee recommends $1,000,000 to continue construction of the project.

Sims Bayou, Houston, Texas.—The Committee recommendation includes $20,000,000 for this project. The reduction made to this project should not be viewed as any diminution of support for this project, rather an attempt to balance out the Corps of Engineers nationwide program among the various missions of the Corps.

Rural Utah, [EI], Utah.—The Committee recommendation includes $20,000,000 to continue construction of eligible projects.

Burlington Harbor, Vermont.—The Committee recommends $500,000 to continue work on removal of oil bollards in the harbor.

Lake Champlain Watershed Initiative, Vermont.—The Committee recommendation includes $1,000,000 for continuation of this project.

AIWW, Bridges at Deep Creek, Virginia.—The Committee has not recommended any funding for this new construction start proposed by the administration.

Norfolk Harbor, Craney Island, Virginia.—The Committee has not recommended any funding for this new construction start proposed by the administration.

Columbia River Fish Mitigation, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho.—The Committee recommendation includes $85,000,000 for this project. The reduction made to this project should not be viewed as any diminution of support for this project, rather an attempt to balance out the Corps of Engineers nationwide program among the various missions of the Corps.

Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Cumberland River, West Virginia, Kentucky, and Virginia.—The Committee recommends $6,750,000 for the continuation of the project. Within the funds recommended, the Committee includes $4,000,000 for the Buchanan County, Dickenson County, and Grundy, Virginia elements. Further, the recommendation includes $2,750,000 for

Kermit, Lower Mingo County, McDowell County, Upper Mingo, and Wayne County, West Virginia.

Aquatic Plant Control Program.—The Committee recommendation includes $5,000,000 for this program. Funds above the budget request are included for cost-shared programs for Guntersville Lake, Alabama; Fife Lake, Michigan; Black Rock Lake, Odensburg, New York; Sodus Bay, New York; Lake Champlain, Vermont; and Lake Chautauqua, Jamestown, New York.

Section 204 and Section 111 of the Continuing Authorities Program.—The Committee has recommended funding for these programs in the CG table as has been the Committee tradition. The budget request is shown in O&M as proposed by the administration.

Dredged Material Disposal Facilities Program.—The Committee has recommended funding for this project in the CG table as has been the Committee tradition. The budget request is shown in O&M as proposed by the administration.

Estuary Restoration Program.—The Committee has included $1,000,000 for this program. Within this amount, consideration should be given to the Colorado Lagoon, California, Restoration project.

Shore Line Erosion Control Development and Demonstration Program.—The Committee has recommended $1,000,000 to continue monitoring programs and other appropriate activities under this authority.

CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAM

When Congress authorized the initial Continuing Authorities in the 1940s and 1950s, they were envisioned to provide a small pool of money available to the Corps of Engineers to solve very small localized problems without being encumbered by the longer study and project authorization process. As more programs were added to the Continuing Authorities Program [CAP] they became increasingly popular with congressional Members and the public. More and more congressionally directed projects began to appear in the annual appropriations bills. At first these congressionally directed projects were added to the base program. As more and more of these congressionally directed projects came into the program it became difficult for these congressionally directed projects to be added to the base, and as such, the base program began to shrink. Congressionally directed projects now dominate all sections of the CAP Program. Congressionally directed projects have proliferated to such an extent that several of the sections are over-subscribed.

The budget justifications for the CAP program do not provide much useful information as to how the administration developed its program for fiscal year 2010. There is a dollar value associated with each section and a listing of projects in priority order that corresponds to the amount. However, the Committee has no way of knowing whether the amount shown is adequate. The Corps is directed to provide more information to justify the amount shown on the justification sheets for fiscal year 2011.

Starting in fiscal year 2008 the Committee no longer provided any congressional earmarks for the section 14, Emergency Bank Stabilization authority. Again this year, the Committee has not

provided either the administration's earmark requests for this section or requests by Members for fiscal year 2010. By definition these are projects that are estimated to fail within 9–12 months. As an emergency situation the Chief of Engineers should have the responsibility for determining how these funds are expended in the most efficient and effective manner. Budget justifications for this section should display the anticipated projects and associated costs to be undertaken in the budget year as well as the anticipated resources necessary to address emergencies that arise in the budget year.

Starting in fiscal year 2011, the Committee will no longer list individual projects in the CAP program in the table. The priority system that has been established will guide the execution of the projects.

CAP projects and studies are listed in the CG table immediately preceding this section. This listing includes the priority projects listed in the President's budget request as well as those that were requested by Members. The Committee has not provided dollar amounts for the named projects in the report. This lack of specificity in project amounts is intended to give the Chief of Engineers flexibility within the various sections of the CAP program in order to address the backlog. The Committee has repeated the guidance below from the fiscal year 2009 statement of the managers that accompanied Public Law 111–8 detailing how the Corps should prioritize work in the CAP program.

The Corps shall give first priority to the projects listed in the tables in this report. For each CAP section, available funds over and above the amounts specified shall be allocated to the projects listed in the table for that section, including projects with specified amounts, in the following sequence of steps until the funds are exhausted:

—capability-level funds for ongoing projects that have executed cost sharing agreements for the applicable phase;

—capability-level funds for projects that are ready for execution of new cost sharing agreements for the applicable phase and for which Corps headquarters authorizes execution of the agreements;

—funds, as permitted by Corps policies, for other projects previously funded for the applicable phase but not ready for execution of new cost sharing agreements; and

—funds as permitted by Corps policies, for projects not previously funded for the applicable phase.

Within the step at which available funds are exhausted for each CAP section, funds shall be allocated to the projects in that section that rank high according to the following factors: high overall performance based on outputs; high percent fiscally complete; high unobligated carry-in; and listing in any conference report or statement of managers from fiscal year 2004 through fiscal year 2009. Section 14 funds shall be allocated to the projects that address the most significant risks and adverse consequences, irrespective of phase or previous funding history.

The Corps shall continue the ongoing process for suspending and terminating inactive projects. Suspended projects shall not be reactivated or funded unless the sponsor reaffirms in writing its sup-

port for the project and establishes its willingness and capability to execute its project responsibilities.

In order to provide a mix of studies, design, and construction within each CAP section, the Corps is directed to divide the funding generally 80/20 between the design and implementation and the feasibility phases within each authority. The Chief of Engineers shall provide a report to the Committees on Appropriations within 30 days of enactment of this act detailing how funds will be distributed to the individual items in the various CAP sections for the fiscal year. The Chief shall also provide an annual report at the end of each fiscal year detailing the progress made on the backlog of projects. The report should include the completions and terminations as well as progress of ongoing work.

The Corps is directed not to initiate any new continuing authorities projects in sections 205, 206, 208, or 1135 without explicit congressional direction. New projects may be initiated in the remaining sections after an assessment is made that such projects can be funded over time based on historical averages of the appropriation for that section and after prior approval by the Committees on Appropriations.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

Appropriations, 2009	[1] $383,823,000
Budget estimate, 2010	248,000,000
Committee recommendation	340,000,000

[1] Excludes emergency funds of $375,000,000.

This appropriation funds planning, construction, and operation and maintenance activities associated with water resource projects located in the lower Mississippi River Valley from Cape Girardeau, Missouri to the Gulf of Mexico.

The budget request and the approved Committee allowance are shown on the following table:

MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

[In thousands of dollars]

Project title	Fiscal year 2009 budget request	Fiscal year 2010 recommendation
INVESTIGATIONS		
ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF, LA	1,000	1,000
DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF, LA	400	400
COLDWATER RIVER BASIN BELOW ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	84	350
MEMPHIS METRO AREA, STORM WATER MGMT STUDY, TN & MS	100	100
QUIVER RIVER, MS		160
SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR		300
SPRING BAYOU, LA		350
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA	500	1,665
CONSTRUCTION		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO, TN	47,721	47,721
GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR		10,000
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	28,874	45,439
ST. FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO		3,700
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	2,664	3,000
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	5,834	15,000