

Union Calendar No. 97

111TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 2996

[Report No. 111-180]

Making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 23, 2009

Mr. DICKS, from the Committee on Appropriations, reported the following bill; which was committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

A BILL

Making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*
3 That the following sums are appropriated, out of any
4 money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the
5 Department of the Interior, environment, and related

1 ment section 9013 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act to pro-
2 vide financial assistance to federally recognized Indian
3 tribes for the development and implementation of pro-
4 grams to manage underground storage tanks.

5 OIL SPILL RESPONSE

6 For expenses necessary to carry out the Environ-
7 mental Protection Agency's responsibilities under the Oil
8 Pollution Act of 1990, \$18,379,000, to be derived from
9 the Oil Spill Liability trust fund, to remain available until
10 expended.

11 STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

12 For environmental programs and infrastructure as-
13 sistance, including capitalization grants for State revolv-
14 ing funds and performance partnership grants,
15 \$5,215,446,000, to remain available until expended, of
16 which \$2,307,000,000 shall be for making capitalization
17 grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under
18 title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as
19 amended (the "Act"); of which \$1,443,000,000 shall be
20 for making capitalization grants for the Drinking Water
21 State Revolving Funds under section 1452 of the Safe
22 Drinking Water Act, as amended: *Provided*, That
23 \$20,000,000 shall be for architectural, engineering, plan-
24 ning, design, construction and related activities in connec-
25 tion with the construction of high priority water and

1 wastewater facilities in the area of the United States-Mex-
2 ico border, after consultation with the appropriate border
3 commission; \$10,000,000 shall be for grants to the State
4 of Alaska to address drinking water and wastewater infra-
5 structure needs of rural and Alaska Native Villages: *Pro-*
6 *vided further*, That, of these funds: (1) the State of Alaska
7 shall provide a match of 25 percent; and (2) no more than
8 5 percent of the funds may be used for administrative and
9 overhead expenses; \$160,000,000 shall be for making spe-
10 cial project grants for the construction of drinking water,
11 wastewater and storm water infrastructure and for water
12 quality protection in accordance with the terms and condi-
13 tions specified for such grants in the explanatory state-
14 ment accompanying this Act, and, for purposes of these
15 grants, each grantee shall contribute not less than 45 per-
16 cent of the cost of the project unless the grantee is ap-
17 proved for a waiver by the Agency; \$100,000,000 shall be
18 to carry out section 104(k) of the Comprehensive Environ-
19 mental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of
20 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including grants, inter-
21 agency agreements, and associated program support costs;
22 \$60,000,000 shall be for grants under title VII, subtitle
23 G of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, as amended; and
24 \$1,115,446,000 shall be for grants, including associated
25 program support costs, to States, federally recognized

EPA Citation - State
and Tribal
Assistance Grants
Citation in the
House Full
Committee Bill

1 tribes, interstate agencies, tribal consortia, and air pollu-
2 tion control agencies for multi-media or single media pol-
3 lution prevention, control and abatement and related ac-
4 tivities, including activities pursuant to the provisions set
5 forth under this heading in Public Law 104–134, and for
6 making grants under section 103 of the Clean Air Act for
7 particulate matter monitoring and data collection activi-
8 ties subject to terms and conditions specified by the Ad-
9 ministrator, of which \$49,495,000 shall be for carrying
10 out section 128 of CERCLA, as amended, \$10,000,000
11 shall be for Environmental Information Exchange Net-
12 work grants, including associated program support costs,
13 \$18,500,000 of the funds available for grants under sec-
14 tion 106 of the Act shall be for water quality monitoring
15 activities, \$10,000,000 shall be for competitive grants to
16 communities to develop plans and demonstrate and imple-
17 ment projects which reduce greenhouse gas emissions,
18 and, in addition to funds appropriated under the heading
19 “Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Pro-
20 gram” to carry out the provisions of the Solid Waste Dis-
21 posal Act specified in section 9508(c) of the Internal Rev-
22 enue Code other than section 9003(h) of the Solid Waste
23 Disposal Act, as amended, \$2,500,000 shall be for grants
24 to States under section 2007(f)(2) of the Solid Waste Dis-
25 posal Act, as amended: *Provided further*, That notwith-

1 standing section 603(d)(7) of the Federal Water Pollution
2 Control Act, the limitation on the amounts in a State
3 water pollution control revolving fund that may be used
4 by a State to administer the fund shall not apply to
5 amounts included as principal in loans made by such fund
6 in fiscal year 2010 and prior years where such amounts
7 represent costs of administering the fund to the extent
8 that such amounts are or were deemed reasonable by the
9 Administrator, accounted for separately from other assets
10 in the fund, and used for eligible purposes of the fund,
11 including administration: *Provided further*, That for fiscal
12 year 2010, and notwithstanding section 518(f) of the Act,
13 the Administrator is authorized to use the amounts appro-
14 priated for any fiscal year under section 319 of that Act
15 to make grants to federally recognized Indian tribes pur-
16 suant to sections 319(h) and 518(e) of that Act: *Provided*
17 *further*, That for fiscal year 2010, notwithstanding the
18 limitation on amounts in section 518(c) of the Federal
19 Water Pollution Control Act and section 1452(i) of the
20 Safe Drinking Water Act, up to a total of 2 percent of
21 the funds appropriated for State Revolving Funds under
22 such Acts may be reserved by the Administrator for grants
23 under section 518(c) and section 1452(i) of such Acts:
24 *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2010, in addition
25 to the amounts specified in section 205(c) of the Federal

1 Water Pollution Control Act, up to 1.2486 percent of the
2 funds appropriated for the Clean Water State Revolving
3 Fund program under the Act may be reserved by the Ad-
4 ministrator for grants made under Title II of the Clean
5 Water Act for American Samoa, Guam, the Common-
6 wealth of the Northern Marianas, and United States Vir-
7 gin Islands: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2010,
8 notwithstanding the limitations on amounts specified in
9 section 1452(j) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, up to 1.5
10 percent of the funds appropriated for the Drinking Water
11 State Revolving Fund programs under the Safe Drinking
12 Water Act may be reserved by the Administrator for
13 grants made under section 1452(j) of the Safe Drinking
14 Water Act: *Provided further*, That no funds provided by
15 this appropriations Act to address the water, wastewater
16 and other critical infrastructure needs of the colonias in
17 the United States along the United States-Mexico border
18 shall be made available to a county or municipal govern-
19 ment unless that government has established an enforce-
20 able local ordinance, or other zoning rule, which prevents
21 in that jurisdiction the development or construction of any
22 additional colonia areas, or the development within an ex-
23 isting colonia the construction of any new home, business,
24 or other structure which lacks water, wastewater, or other
25 necessary infrastructure.

111TH CONGRESS } HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES { REPORT
1st Session } 111-180

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND
RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION BILL, 2010

JUNE 23, 2009.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of
the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. DICKS of Washington, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

R E P O R T

together with

MINORITY VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 2996]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, the Environmental Protection Agency, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010. The bill provides regular annual appropriations for the Department of the Interior (except the Bureau of Reclamation and the Central Utah Project), the Environmental Protection Agency, and for other related agencies, including the Forest Service, the Indian Health Service, the Smithsonian Institution, and the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

CONTENTS

Title I—Department of the Interior:

	<i>Page number</i>	
	<i>Bill</i>	<i>Report</i>
Bureau of Land Management	2	12
United States Fish and Wildlife Service	8	23
National Park Service	15	38
United States Geological Survey	19	51
Minerals Management Service	22	57
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement	25	61
Bureau of Indian Affairs	26	64
Office of the Secretary	35	72

	<i>Page number</i>	
	<i>Bill</i>	<i>Report</i>
Insular Affairs	36	73
Office of the Solicitor	39	78
Office of Inspector General	39	78
Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians	39	78
Department-wide Programs	41	79
Wildland Fire Management	41	79
General Provisions, Department of the Interior	47	84
Title II—Environmental Protection Agency:		
Science and Technology	56	96
Environmental Programs and Management	56	100
Office of Inspector General	57	106
Buildings and Facilities	57	107
Hazardous Substance Superfund	57	107
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program	58	109
Oil Spill Response	59	110
State and Tribal Assistance Grants	59	110
Administrative Provisions	64	118
Title III—Related Agencies:		
Forest Service, USDA	67	119
Forest Service, Wildland Fire Management	72	126
Indian Health Service, DHHS	82	140
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences	90	146
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	90	146
Other Related Agencies:		
Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality	91	147
Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board	92	148
Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation	93	148
Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development	94	148
Smithsonian Institution	94	148
National Gallery of Art	96	153
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts	98	155
Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars	98	156
National Endowment for the Arts	99	157
National Endowment for the Humanities	99	159
Commission of Fine Arts	101	161
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	102	161
National Capital Planning Commission	102	162
United States Holocaust Memorial Museum	102	162
Presidio Trust	103	163
Dwight D. Eisenhower Memorial Commission	103	163
Title IV—General Provisions:	103	164

DISCRETIONARY BUDGET AUTHORITY RECOMMENDED IN BILL BY TITLE

Activity	Budget estimates, fiscal year 2010	Committee bill, fiscal year 2010	Committee bill com- pared with budget estimates
Title I, Department of the Interior: New Budget (obligational) authority	\$10,980,248,000	\$10,998,217,000	\$17,969,000
Title II, Environmental Protection Agency: New Budget (obligational) authority	\$10,486,000,000	\$10,462,962,000	–\$23,038,000
Title III, related agencies: New Budget (obligational) authority	\$10,855,945,000	\$11,104,821,000	+\$248,876,000
Grand total, New Budget (obligational) authority	\$32,325,193,000	\$32,300,000	–\$25,193,000

GENERAL PROVISIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Sections 101 and 102 provide for emergency transfer authority with the approval of the Secretary, as requested.

Section 103 provides for the use of appropriations for certain services.

Section 104 permits the transfer of funds between the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians.

Section 105 continues a provision permitting the redistribution of Tribal priority allocation and Tribal base funds to alleviate funding inequities.

Section 106 continues a provision permitting the conveyance of the Twin Cities Research Center of the former Bureau of Mines for the benefit of the National Wildlife Refuge System.

Section 107 continues a provision allowing the Secretary to pay private attorney fees for employees and former employees in connection with *Cobell v. Salazar*.

Section 108 continues a provision dealing with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's responsibilities for mass marking of salmonid stocks.

Section 109 continues a provision authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to acquire lands in support of transportation of visitors to Ellis, Governors, and Liberty Islands, NJ and NY.

Section 110 extends through 2013 a provision in the previous Interior and Environment Appropriations Act that allows the Minerals Management Service to accept contributions to complete environmental documents prior to energy exploration and production.

Section 111 continues a provision permitting the Secretary to enter into cooperative agreements with certain parties. This language allows cooperative agreements with governments and non-profit partners to be awarded non-competitively.

Section 112 continues a provision allowing certain funds provided for land acquisition at the Ice Age National Scenic Trail to be granted to a State, a local government, or any other land management entity.

Section 113 provides the Department of the Interior with civil and criminal penalty authority for revenue collection of solid minerals, geothermal, and offshore alternative energy activities. This authority would correct existing deficiencies in sections 109 and 110 of the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act (FOGRMA).

Section 114 provides the Department of the Interior with authority, as requested, allowing the Minerals Management Service to charge outer continental shelf oil and gas operators a fee for the required MMS inspections.

Section 115 provides the Department of the Interior with authority to fund land acquisition at the San Juan Islands National Historic Park.

Section 116 provides for an expansion of the Minidoka National Historic Site, ID.

TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was created by Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1970, which consolidated nine programs

from five different agencies and departments. Major EPA programs include air and water quality, drinking water, hazardous waste, research, pesticides, radiation, toxic substances, enforcement and compliance assurance, pollution prevention, oil spills, Superfund, Brownfields, and the Leaking Underground Storage Tank program. In addition, EPA provides Federal assistance for wastewater treatment, sewer overflow control, drinking water facilities, other water infrastructure projects, and diesel emission reduction projects. The Agency is responsible for conducting research and development, establishing environmental standards through the use of risk assessment and cost-benefit, monitoring pollution conditions, seeking compliance through enforcement actions, managing audits and investigations, and providing technical assistance and grant support to States and Tribes, which are delegated authority for much of the program implementation. Under existing statutory authority, the Agency contributes to specific homeland security efforts and may participate in international environmental activities.

Among the statutes for which the Environmental Protection Agency has sole or significant oversight responsibilities are:

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended.

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended.

Toxic Substances Control Act, as amended.

Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended.

Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, as amended.

Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended.

Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Public Health Service Act (Title XIV), as amended.

Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended.

Clean Air Act, as amended.

Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended.

Great Lakes Legacy Act of 2002.

Bioterrorism Act of 2002.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended.

Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002 (amending CERCLA).

Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986.

Pollution Prevention Act of 1990.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended.

Pollution Prosecution Act of 1990.

Pesticide Registration Improvement Act of 2003.

Energy Policy Act of 2005.

Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007.

For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$10,569,962,000 for the Environmental Protection Agency, \$2,934,288,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$83,962,000 above the budget request. The Committee is pleased to see that the request sustains many of the important increases it added to the Agency's budget in fiscal years 2008 and 2009. The amounts recommended by the Committee are changes to the request. Comparison to the budget request and 2009 enacted levels are shown by account, program area and selected activity in the following table.

ginning of this title. The Committee provides the following additional detail by program area:

EPA estimates that there are approximately 630,000 active underground storage tanks subject to regulation that require inspection. From that universe there have been over 474,000 releases requiring corrective actions, of which 109,000 have yet to be completed. In fiscal year 2007 alone, there were over 7,500 new releases reported. Despite the increased appropriation in 2009 and the ARRA funding, the budget estimates that the end of year balance in the LUST Trust Fund will continue to grow, increasing by more than \$200,000,000 from 2009 to 2010. In light of the number of new releases each year, the Committee urges the Administrator to determine if the States can use additional funding in future years.

Bill Language.—The Committee has included the proposed bill language which authorizes, for one year, the Administrator to use the LUST Trust Fund for Tribal grants to develop and implement underground storage tank programs.

OIL SPILL RESPONSE

This appropriation, authorized by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, provides funds to prepare for and prevent releases of oil and other petroleum products in navigable waterways. In addition, EPA is reimbursed for incident specific response costs through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund managed by the United States Coast Guard.

EPA is responsible for directing all clean-up and removal activities posing a threat to public health and the environment; conducting site inspections; providing a means to achieve clean-up activities by private parties; reviewing containment plans at facilities; reviewing area contingency plans; pursuing cost recovery of fund-financed clean-ups; and conducting research of oil clean-up techniques. Funds for this appropriation are provided through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund which is composed of fees and collections made through provisions of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, the Comprehensive Oil Pollution Liability and Compensation Act, the Deepwater Port Act of 1974, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act Amendments of 1978, and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended. Pursuant to law, the Trust Fund is managed by the United States Coast Guard.

Appropriation enacted, 2009	\$17,687,000
Budget estimate, 2010	18,379,000
Recommended, 2010	18,379,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2009	+692,000
Budget estimate, 2010	0

The Committee recommends \$18,379,000 as requested for oil spill response, \$692,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

The State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) account provides grant funds for programs operated primarily by State, local, Tribal and other governmental partners. The account includes two broad types of funds: (1) Infrastructure Assistance, which is used primarily by local governments for projects supporting environmental

protection; and, (2) Categorical Grants, which assist State and Tribal governments and other environmental partners with the operation of environmental programs.

In the STAG account, EPA provides funding for infrastructure projects through two State Revolving Funds (Clean Water and Drinking Water), geographic specific projects in Alaskan Native Villages and on the United States-Mexico Border, Brownfield revitalization projects, diesel emission reduction grants and other targeted infrastructure projects.

The State Revolving Funds (SRFs) provide Federal financial assistance to protect the Nation's water resources. The Clean Water SRF helps eliminate municipal discharge of untreated or inadequately treated pollutants and thereby helps maintain or restore the country's water to a swimmable and/or fishable quality. The Clean Water SRF provides resources for municipal, inter-municipal, State, and interstate agencies and Tribal governments to plan, design, and construct wastewater facilities and other projects, including non-point source, estuary, stormwater, and sewer overflow projects. The Safe Drinking Water SRF finances improvements to community water systems so that they can achieve compliance with the mandates of the Safe Drinking Water Act and continue to protect public health.

The major Federal environmental statutes include provisions that allow the Federal government, through EPA, to delegate to the States and Tribes the day-to-day management of environmental programs. The Federal statutes were designed to recognize the States as partners and co-regulators, allowing the States to issue and enforce permits, carry out inspections and monitoring, and collecting data. To assist the States in this task, the statutes also authorized EPA to provide grants to the States and Tribes. These grants, which cover every major aspect of environmental protection, include those programs authorized by sections 319 and 106 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (for non-point source pollution and the water quality permits programs), sections 105 and 103 of the Clean Air Act (for State and Local air quality management programs), section 128 of CERCLA (for the brownfields program management), section 1443(a) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (for public water system supervision), and section 3011 of RCRA (for hazardous waste financial assistance).

Appropriation enacted, 2009*	\$2,968,464,000
Budget estimate, 2010	5,191,274,000
Recommended, 2010	5,215,446,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2009	+2,246,982,000
Budget estimate, 2010	+24,172,000

*Total does not include funding provided in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

The Committee recommends \$5,215,446,000 for the State and Tribal Assistance account, \$2,246,982,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$24,172,000 above the budget request. The changes to the request, as recommended by the Committee, appear in the table at the beginning of this title. The Committee provides the following additional detail by program area:

Infrastructure Assistance.—For the infrastructure assistance portion of this account, the Committee recommends \$4,100,000,000, which is \$2,226,391,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level

and \$20,000,000 above the budget request. The Committee recognizes the growing need to not only build new, but also repair and replace existing, water and wastewater infrastructure. Over the course of recent months, through the fiscal year 2009 appropriations bill and the ARRA, the Committee has provided more than \$7.5 billion for water and wastewater infrastructure through the State Revolving Funds (SRFs). This is the largest amount of water and wastewater infrastructure assistance ever provided by the Federal government in a single year to protect public health and the environment. Added to the amounts recommended here, the Committee will have provided or recommended over \$11 billion for environmental infrastructure, resulting in over 4,400 projects in communities all across this nation, in every State, each Territory and many Tribal nations.

In this bill, the Committee has recommended \$2,307,000,000 for the Clean Water SRF and \$1,443,000,000 for the Drinking Water SRF. These are principally loan programs. The Committee has found that many small, rural and/or disadvantaged communities do not have the resources to borrow from the SRFs with the responsibility to pay back 100 percent of the loan plus interest. As the Committee did with the ARRA funds, it has included bill language to allow the States to provide additional forms of subsidy to those communities which cannot afford even the below market rates provided by an SRF loan. These subsidies, which can be in the form of negative interest loans, principal forgiveness or grants, will apply to 30 percent of the funds appropriated for the Drinking Water SRF and to 30 percent of the Clean Water SRF funds that exceed \$1 billion. This bill language is included in the Administrative Provisions.

In addition, the Committee has continued language first carried in the ARRA, and requested by the President, to allow States to use up to 20 percent of each of their SRF grants for projects that are considered green infrastructure and those that provide water and/or energy efficiencies. The Committee has revised the language to clarify that the percentage applies to both SRFs and each State. The Committee continues to believe that decentralized, green infrastructure projects are an important component in the efforts to improve and restore our waters. The Committee commends the Agency's efforts to ensure that no State will require a waiver from the 20 percent goal in the ARRA and encourages the Agency to continue these efforts with the funds provided through this Act.

For other infrastructure programs in this account, the Committee recommends: (1) an allocation of \$160,000,000 for grants to local communities for water and sewer infrastructure; (2) \$100,000,000 for Brownfields infrastructure projects; (3) \$10,000,000 for the Alaska Native Villages Grant program; (4) \$20,000,000 for the U.S.-Mexican Border program; and (5) \$60,000,000 for the Diesel Emissions Reduction Grant Program.

U.S.-Mexico Border.—From within the amount provided for the Mexican Border program, \$2,500,000 is directed to the El Paso and Brownsville projects funded in prior years. The Committee notes that the significant increases in the Clean Water State Revolving fund provided in this bill and through the ARRA, coupled with the authority to award those funds with additional forms of subsidy, provide an expanded funding source for the important infrastruc-

ture projects along the border, and encourages EPA and the State of Texas to consider using those resources to accelerate projects along the border. The Committee directs the Agency to continue its new process to ensure funds are liquidated quickly. Although improvements have been made, the balances in this program remain high. Once the Agency has fully implemented the changes, the Committee will consider those balances when it next makes funding decisions.

Diesel Emissions Reduction Grants.—The Committee continues to support the Diesel Emissions Reduction Grant Program, which received \$300,000,000 through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. These funds are expected to result in approximately 160 grants and leverage over \$300,000,000. Because this program is only a few years old and has received a significant boost through the ARRA, the Committee directs the Agency to report within 90 days of enactment on the number and types of project applications it has received for the 2009 and ARRA national competitions and how the states are spending their share of the grant funds.

STAG Infrastructure Grant Priorities.—The bill provides \$160,000,000 for drinking water, wastewater, storm water infrastructure and other water quality protection projects including the following:

State	Project	Amount
1. AL	East Alabama Water Sewer and Fire Protection District for wastewater system planning.	\$275,000
2. AL	The City of Enterprise for the Enterprise Southeast lagoon upgrade project.	500,000
3. AL	The City of Sulligent for a water well and storage tank project	500,000
4. AL	Washington County Commission for the Washington County sanitary sewer extension.	500,000
5. AR	Cabot Waterworks for wastewater improvements	500,000
6. AR	The City of Fayetteville for Elkins Outfall Sewer Line sewer replacement.	500,000
7. AZ	The Pascua Yacqui Tribe for the master drainage plan	1,000,000
8. AZ	The Town of Chino Valley for water and wastewater infrastructure	500,000
9. AZ	The Town of Miami for sewer collection system upgrades	220,000
10. CA	Big Bear Department of Water and Power for Big Bear Lake water system infrastructure improvements.	500,000
11. CA	Carlsbad for Vista-Carlsbad joint wastewater project	500,000
12. CA	City of Galt for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	500,000
13. CA	Helix Water District for the El Monte Valley groundwater recharge project.	500,000
14. CA	Monterey County Water Resources Agency for the Lower Carmel River and Lagoon Floodplain restoration and enhancement project.	500,000
15. CA	Palmdale Water District for water main replacement	500,000
16. CA	South Montebello Irrigation District for water system infrastructure improvements.	550,000
17. CA	South Pasadena for Wilson Reservoir replacement	300,000
18. CA	The City of Arcadia for the Arcadia and Sierra Madre joint water infrastructure project.	500,000
19. CA	The City of Bell for Sewer Infrastructure Modernization	675,000
20. CA	The City of Calimesa for storm drain improvements	500,000
21. CA	The City of Cathedral City for South City Improvement District groundwater protection.	500,000
22. CA	The City of Ceres for East Service Road sanitary sewer extension	500,000
23. CA	The City of Culver City for storm water improvements	500,000
24. CA	The City of Los Angeles for the Elysian Park water recycling project	500,000
25. CA	The City of Ridgecrest for wastewater treatment facility infrastructure	400,000
26. CA	The City of San Jose for the San Jose Redevelopment Area sewer main rehabilitation.	300,000
27. CA	The City of San Juan Capistrano for ground water recovery plant expansion and regional distribution facility.	500,000

EPA Citation - State & Tribal Assistance Grants Citation in the House Full Committee Report

State	Project	Amount
28. CA	The City of Temple City for storm drain installation	200,000
29. CA	The City of Vallejo for Mare Island sanitary sewer and storm drain improvements.	750,000
30. CA	Western Municipal Water District for Arlington Desalter Biondenitrification.	500,000
31. CT	The Mattabasset District for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	500,000
32. CT	The Town of Prospect for drinking water infrastructure	495,000
33. FL	City of West Palm Beach for water infrastructure improvements	500,000
34. FL	Jacksonville Water and Sewer Expansion Authority for septic tank replacement.	500,000
35. FL	Santa Rosa County for Navarre Beach water clarifier	220,000
36. FL	South Seminole and North Orange County Wastewater Transmission Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
37. FL	The City of Clearwater for wastewater treatment facility improvements	500,000
38. FL	The City of Homestead for water utility upgrades	500,000
39. FL	The City of Opa-Locka Public Works Division for wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
40. FL	The City of Quincy for inflow and infiltration improvements	440,000
41. FL	The City of Sunrise for a water reclamation system	1,000,000
42. GA	Fort Valley Utility Commission for wastewater reclamation facility	500,000
43. GA	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District for multiple water and wastewater system improvements.	500,000
44. GA	The City of Atlanta for sewer system infrastructure improvements	500,000
45. GA	The City of Crawfordville for the sewer rehabilitation	500,000
46. GA	The City of Kingsland for water and sewer infrastructure	500,000
47. GU	Guam Waterworks Authority for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements.	600,000
48. IA	The City of Garner for wastewater treatment infrastructure improvements.	500,000
49. ID	The City of Buhl for wastewater treatment infrastructure	500,000
50. IL	Naperville Heritage Society, Naperville, for stormwater management at Naper Settlement.	500,000
51. IL	Sharpsburg and Neighboring Area Water System for infrastructure	500,000
52. IL	The Village of Buckner for a water storage tank	352,000
53. IL	The Village of Carol Stream for Tubeway Drive storm water lift station rehabilitation.	192,000
54. IL	The Village of Hopedale for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	180,000
55. IL	The Village of Johnsbury for wastewater treatment infrastructure	500,000
56. IL	The Village of Park Forest for sanitary sewer infrastructure	500,000
57. IL	Will County for Ridgewood water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	550,000
58. IN	Clinton County Government for the Eastside Regional stormwater improvements.	500,000
59. IN	The City of Portage for water infrastructure improvements	800,000
60. IN	Wadesville-Blairsville Regional Sewer District for the sanitary sewer system project.	500,000
61. KS	The City of DeSoto for water treatment infrastructure improvements at the Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant.	500,000
62. KS	The City of Rose Hill for the Berlin Drainage Project	500,000
63. KY	Franklin County Fiscal Court for the Farmdale Area wastewater treatment plant.	900,000
64. KY	Owensboro-Daviess County Regional Water Resource Agency for the Locust Hills Subdivision sewer installation project.	220,000
65. KY	Perry County Sanitation District No. 1 for wastewater treatment infrastructure.	500,000
66. KY	The City of Paris for combined utilities water plan improvements	500,000
67. KY	The City of Tompkinsville for a water treatment plant backwash lagoon project.	189,000
68. KY	The City of Wurtland for the Wurtland/Greenup/Lloyd regional sewer project.	500,000
69. LA	St. Tammany Parish for Bayou Chinchuba Regional water retention	500,000
70. LA	The City of Monroe for a wastewater treatment system	500,000
71. MA	City of Gloucester for Essex Avenue Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade.	500,000
72. MA	Pioneer Valley Planning Commission for the Connecticut River CSO	750,000
73. MA	The Cities of Fall River and New Bedford and the Towns of Acushnet, Mansfield, Norton, and Foxboro for Bristol County CSO upgrades.	750,000

State	Project	Amount
74. MA	The City of Malden for citywide lead water service replacement	500,000
75. MD	Maryland Department of the Environment for Salisbury cast iron distribution pipe.	500,000
76. MD	The City of Rockville for sanitary sewer rehabilitation	750,000
77. MD	The Town of Chesapeake Beach for WWTP Enhanced Nutrient Removal Upgrade and Expansion.	700,000
78. ME	The Town of Machias for sewer system upgrades	500,000
79. MI	Lansing Board of Water & Light for Lansing energy efficient drinking water system.	500,000
80. MI	Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner for the Oakland Macomb Interceptor.	500,000
81. MI	The City of Detroit DEGC for East Riverfront wastewater infrastructure	500,000
82. MI	The City of Grand Rapids for Eastside CSO separation	500,000
83. MI	Wayne County for the Rouge River Wet Weather Demonstration Project	500,000
84. MN	Grand Rapids Public Utilities Commission for wastewater facilities improvements.	1,000,000
85. MN	South Bend Township for water and sewer infrastructure	500,000
86. MN	The City of Maple Plain for water treatment facility infrastructure	500,000
87. MO	McDonald County for wastewater infrastructure improvements	244,000
88. MO	The City of East Prairie for stormwater and sewer infrastructure	200,000
89. MO	The City of Saint Joseph for stormwater and wastewater infrastructure	500,000
90. MS	Black Bayou Water Association for drinking water improvements	250,000
91. MT	Em-Kayan County Water and Sewer District for infrastructure improvements.	290,000
92. NC	City of Raleigh Public Utilities Department for the Dempsey E. Benton Water Treatment Plant Backwash Waste Facility.	500,000
93. NC	McDowell County for water system improvements	500,000
94. NC	Town of Cary Public Works and Utilities Department for Western Wake regional wastewater management facility.	1,000,000
95. NE	The City of Omaha for CSO controls	500,000
96. NJ	Monmouth County for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
97. NJ	Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission for a Combined Sewage Overflow Project.	750,000
98. NJ	The Borough of Califon for Railroad Ave./Main St. stormwater improvements.	500,000
99. NJ	The Borough of Fort Lee for CSO abatement upgrades	500,000
100. NJ	The Borough of Hopatcong for drinking water infrastructure improvements.	500,000
101. NM	The Pueblo of San Felipe for wastewater infrastructure	400,000
102. NV	Lyon County Utilities for wastewater infrastructure improvements at Mound House.	500,000
103. NY	Gowanus Canal Conservancy for Gowanus Canal water quality improvement.	300,000
104. NY	Onondaga County for storm water infrastructure improvements	400,000
105. NY	Rockland Co. Sewer District No. 1 for Ramapo wastewater treatment	500,000
106. NY	The City of Glen Cove for water and stormwater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
107. NY	The City of New York, New York City Department of Parks and Recreation for Bronx River stormwater management.	550,000
108. NY	The City of Rochester for the Highland Reservoir	600,000
109. NY	The City of White Plains for a drinking water transmission line	500,000
110. NY	The Town of Pendleton for the replacement of grinder pumps	500,000
111. NY	The Town of Urbana for water and wastewater infrastructure	500,000
112. NY	The Village of Saugerties for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	800,000
113. NY	Westchester Joint Water Works for water main rehabilitation	517,000
114. OH	Butler County Commissioners for the Ross Township sewer project	500,000
115. OH	Ottawa County for the Ottawa County sanitary sewer project	500,000
116. OH	Muskingum County Commissioners for Maysville sewer improvements	500,000
117. OH	The City of Ashland for a waterline replacement project	500,000
118. OH	The City of Stow for sanitary sewer system infrastructure	500,000
119. OH	The City of Vandalia for airport access road water and sewer extensions.	500,000
120. OH	The City of Worthington for sanitary sewer improvements	500,000
121. OH	The Village of Dillonvale for water meter replacement	100,000
122. OH	The Village of Tiro for a water distribution system	500,000

State	Project	Amount
123. OH	Trumbull County Commissioners for wastewater infrastructure improvements.	300,000
124. OK	Lawton Ft. Sill Chamber of Commerce for Lawton Industrial Park Expansion for Water and Sewer Line Extensions.	500,000
125. PA	Findlay Township Municipal Authority for water and sewer upgrades	500,000
126. PA	Haines Aaronsburg Municipal Authority for water line interconnection	250,000
127. PA	Hegins-Hubley Authority for facility improvements	68,000
128. PA	Lehigh County Authority for the Vera Cruz wastewater collection system.	500,000
129. PA	Municipal Authority of the City of Lower Burrell for Wildlife Lodge Road sanitary sewer extension.	800,000
130. PA	Northampton, Bucks County Municipal Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
131. PA	The City of Reading for wastewater infrastructure improvements at Fritz's Island.	500,000
132. PA	Thornbury Township for Cheyney University/Thornbury Township wastewater treatment facility improvements.	250,000
133. PA	Tri-County Joint Municipal Authority for water treatment infrastructure	393,000
134. PA	York City Sewer Authority for headworks facility infrastructure	160,000
135. RI	The City of Newport for UV disinfection system improvements	500,000
136. SC	The City of Rock Hill for the Phase II Hagins-Fewell Neighborhood Infrastructure Improvement Project.	600,000
137. SC	The Town of Coward for drinking water and wastewater improvements	500,000
138. TN	Campbell County Government for Campbell County waterline improvements.	500,000
139. TN	Springville Utility District of Henry County for drinking water system improvements.	500,000
140. TN	The City of Harrogate for wastewater system improvements	500,000
141. TX	The City of Andrews for Andrews arsenic filtration pilot project	400,000
142. TX	The City of Austin for Austin Sanitary Sewer Overflow Prevention	500,000
143. TX	The City of Baytown for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements.	500,000
144. TX	The City of Crystal City for water infrastructure improvements	500,000
145. TX	The City of Gainesville for the water treatment plant expansion project	500,000
146. TX	The City of Joshua for the Joshua drainage project in Johnson County	1,000,000
147. TX	The City of La Vernia for drinking water infrastructure	500,000
148. TX	The City of Petersburg for elevated water tank replacement	439,000
149. TX	The City of Temple for industrial park wastewater line and interceptor	500,000
150. UT	Weber County for the Weber County storm water master plan	500,000
151. VA	Halifax County Service Authority for Maple Avenue wastewater plant upgrades.	500,000
152. VA	The City of Alexandria for a water reuse project	500,000
153. VA	The City of Alexandria, Arlington County for Four Mile Run infrastructure improvements.	500,000
154. VA	The City of Falls Church for storm water infrastructure	500,000
155. WA	Jefferson County Department of Community Development for the Port Hadlock wastewater system.	500,000
156. WA	The City of Buckley for emergency intertie booster station	333,000
157. WA	The City of Lacey for regional reclaimed water project	500,000
158. WA	The City of Rock Island for wastewater system infrastructure	500,000
159. WA	The City of Seattle for the Magnuson Park Wetlands project	500,000
160. WA	The City of South Bend for the Willapa Regional wastewater facilities project.	500,000
161. WA	The City of Tacoma for the Tacoma downtown sustainable storm drainage system.	1,148,000
162. WA	West Sound Utility District for the Port Orchard reclaimed water distribution system.	165,000
163. WI	The City of Abbotsford for water treatment infrastructure	1,000,000
164. WI	The City of Park Falls for sewer infrastructure	550,000
165. WI	The Village of Athens for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	1,000,000
166. WI	The Village of Stetsonville for a public drinking water system	1,000,000
167. WV	The Town of Rowlesburg for drinking water infrastructure improvements.	500,000

Categorical Grants to Environmental Partners.—For categorical grants to EPA's environmental partners, the Committee recommends \$1,115,446,000, \$20,591,000 above the fiscal year 2009

enacted level and \$4,172,000 above the budget request. The Committee supports the requested increases for the Section 102 Water Grants, the Public Water Supervision Grants, and the State and Local Air Quality grants. From within the amount provided, the Committee directs the following changes to the request:

- \$3,000,000 from the Hazardous Waste Financial Assistance grant program, which will provide a \$2,000,000 increase above the fiscal year 2009 level;
- \$1,000,000 from the Lead grant, which will provide the fiscal year 2009 level;
- \$1,828,000 from the Sector grants; and,
- +\$10,000,000 to restore the Climate Change Grants to local and Tribal governments.

Climate Change Grants for Local and Tribal Communities.—The bill includes \$10,000,000 for the Office of Air and Radiation to continue a competitive grant program to assist local and Tribal communities in establishing and implementing integrated climate change initiatives. The goals of the program are to (1) assist local and Tribal governments in developing plans and implementing projects that provide cost-effective, continuing, and documentable reductions in greenhouse gas emissions; (2) to demonstrate replicable models of sustainable community action; and (3) to transfer these models nationwide through peer exchange, information sharing, and other means to build a network of “communities of practice.”

The Climate Change Grants complement existing Agency climate programs and provide a climate focus to other planning and implementation activities. In addition, the Committee considers this program to be unique in that it targets a specialized, critical audience (local and Tribal governments) specifically for greenhouse gas reductions in the context of broad climate change management. Rather than isolating components of climate change mitigation, the program encourages comprehensive, multi-media approaches that combine planning and project implementation with public education and outreach. This allows local and Tribal governments to tailor approaches to their specific needs and priorities, encourages innovative solutions, and avoids redundant efforts.

The Agency is directed to continue to follow the specific guidelines contained in the explanatory statement accompanying the fiscal year 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act, including the requirement that grantees provide a 50 percent match and that Tribes receive five percent of the funds. The Agency is directed to report within 180 days of enactment of this Act on its progress in implementing this grant program, including a summary of the projects funded, estimates of greenhouse gases reduced and other quantifiable co-benefits, and suggestions for future implementation of the program.

Bill Language.—The Committee recommendation retains the following language from prior years: (1) State administrative costs of the Clean Water State Revolving Fund; (2) conditions for the Alaska Native Villages Grant program; (3) special project grants, with a 45 percent match requirement; (4) Colonias Enforcement; (5) the language which provides STAG account funds for a small portion of the underground storage tank program; and, (6) authority for the

Administrator to award grants to local governments for climate change projects.

The Committee has accepted the following new bill language proposed by the President: (1) increases to the Tribal and territorial set-asides from the SRFs; and, (2) language to allow States to use the SRFs for green infrastructure and water and energy efficiency projects, with slight technical changes. New language has been included in the Administrative Provisions to provide for additional subsidies for communities that cannot afford a conventional SRF loan. The Committee has not included the following language proposed by the President: (1) a requirement that a State match funds provided for the particulate matter monitoring network; and, (2) a limitation that funds provided for water quality monitoring be used for state participation in national statistical surveys.

Additional Guidance.—The Committee has included the following additional guidance with respect to funding provided under this account:

Brownfields Technical Assistance Centers.—The Committee supports the Agency's use of regional technical assistance centers. The Agency is directed to continue this program at the fiscal year 2009 level of \$1,800,000.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The Committee recommendation continues the language, carried in prior years, concerning Tribal Cooperative Authority and the collection and obligation of pesticides fees. The Committee has accepted the President's proposal to expand the Agency's transfer and interagency agreement authority for the purposes of implementing the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative only.

The Committee has accepted the President's proposal to rescind prior year funds, but has increased the rescission to \$142,000,000, which is \$132,000,000 more than the request. The Committee has taken this action in light of a recent IG report, which indicates that the Agency has an unusually high unliquidated balance from appropriations made prior to fiscal year 2009. Should the Agency identify any of those funds not from the STAG account as eligible for this rescission prior to the Conference Committee on this bill, the Committee will consider expanding the accounts from which this rescission can be taken. The Bill Language prohibits the Agency from taking the rescission against amounts designated by Congress as emergency. The Committee has amended the proposed language on Title 42 authority to extend the authority through 2015. The Committee also has included two provisions relating to subsidies and green infrastructure projects from within the funds provided for the Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Funds. Bill language has been included to provide specific wage rate requirements for the Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Funds.

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	East Alabama Water Sewer and Fire Protection District, Valley, AL	East Alabama Water Sewer and Fire Protection District for wastewater system planning	\$275,000	Rogers (AL)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Enterprise, Enterprise, AL	The City of Enterprise for the Enterprise Southeast lagoon upgrade project	\$500,000	Bright
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Sulligent, Sulligent, AL	The City of Sulligent for a water well and storage tank project	\$500,000	Aderholt
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Washington County Commission, Chatom, AL	Washington County Commission for the Washington County sanitary sewer extension	\$500,000	Bonner
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Cabot Waterworks, Cabot, AR	Cabot Waterworks for wastewater improvements	\$500,000	Berry
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Fayetteville, Fayetteville, AR	The City of Fayetteville for Elkins Outfall Sewer Line sewer replacement	\$500,000	Boozman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	The Pascua Yacqui Tribe, Tucson, AZ	The Pascua Yacqui Tribe for the master drainage plan	\$1,000,000	Pastor (AZ); Grijalva
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Chino Valley, Chino Valley, AZ	The Town of Chino Valley for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$500,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Miami, Miami, AZ	The Town of Miami for sewer collection system upgrades	\$220,000	Pastor (AZ)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Big Bear Department of Water and Power, Big Bear Lake, CA	Big Bear Department of Water and Power for Big Bear Lake water system infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Lewis (CA)

EPA Citation - State and Assistance Grants Citation in the House Full Committee Report Table

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Carlsbad, Carlsbad, CA	Carlsbad for Vista-Carlsbad joint wastewater project	\$500,000	Bilbray
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Galt, Galt, CA	City of Galt for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$500,000	Lungren, Dan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Helix Water District, La Mesa, CA	Helix Water District for the El Monte Valley groundwater recharge project	\$500,000	Hunter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Monterey County Water Resources Agency, Salinas, CA	Monterey County Water Resources Agency for the Lower Carmel River and Lagoon Floodplain restoration and enhancement project	\$500,000	Farr
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Palmdale Water District, Palmdale, CA	Palmdale Water District for water main replacement	\$500,000	McKeon
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	South Montebello Irrigation District, Montebello, CA	South Montebello Irrigation District for water system infrastructure improvements	\$550,000	Napolitano
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	South Pasadena, South Pasadena, CA	South Pasadena for Wilson Reservoir replacement	\$300,000	Schiff
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Arcadia, Arcadia, CA	The City of Arcadia for the Arcadia and Sierra Madre joint water infrastructure project	\$500,000	Dreier
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Bell, Bell, CA	The City of Bell for Sewer Infrastructure Modernization	\$675,000	Roybal-Allard
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Calimesa, Calimesa, CA	The City of Calimesa for storm drain improvements	\$500,000	Lewis (CA)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Cathedral City, Cathedral City, CA	The City of Cathedral City for South City Improvement District groundwater protection	\$500,000	Bono Mack

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Ceres, Ceres, CA	The City of Ceres for East Service Road sanitary sewer extension	\$500,000	Cardoza
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Culver City, Culver City, CA	The City of Culver City for storm water improvements	\$500,000	Watson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	The City of Los Angeles for the Elysian Park water recycling project	\$500,000	Becerra
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Ridgecrest, Ridgecrest, CA	The City of Ridgecrest for wastewater treatment facility infrastructure	\$400,000	McCarthy (CA)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of San Jose, San Jose, CA	The City of San Jose for the San Jose Redevelopment Area sewer main rehabilitation	\$300,000	Honda; Lofgren, Zoe
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of San Juan Capistrano, San Juan Capistrano, CA	The City of San Juan Capistrano for ground water recovery plant expansion and regional distribution facility	\$500,000	Calvert
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Temple City, Temple City, CA	The City of Temple City for storm drain installation	\$200,000	Schiff
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Vallejo, Vallejo, CA	The City of Vallejo for Mare Island sanitary sewer and storm drain improvements	\$750,000	Miller, George
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Western Municipal Water District, Riverside, CA	Western Municipal Water District for Arlington Desalter Bionitrification	\$500,000	Calvert
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	The Mattabasset District, Cromwell, CT	The Mattabasset District for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	\$500,000	Larson (CT)

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Prospect, Prospect, CT	The Town of Prospect for drinking water infrastructure	\$495,000	DeLauro
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of West Palm Beach, West Palm Beach, FL	City of West Palm Beach for water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Klein (FL); Wexler
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Jacksonville Water and Sewer Expansion Authority, Jacksonville, FL	Jacksonville Water and Sewer Expansion Authority for septic tank replacement	\$500,000	Crenshaw
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Santa Rosa County, Milton, FL	Santa Rosa County for Navarre Beach water clarifier	\$220,000	Miller (FL)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	South Seminole and North Orange County Wastewater Transmission Authority, Maitland, FL	South Seminole and North Orange County Wastewater Transmission Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Kosmas; Mica
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Clearwater, Clearwater, FL	The City of Clearwater for wastewater treatment facility improvements	\$500,000	Young (FL); Bilirakis
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Homestead, Homestead, FL	The City of Homestead for water utility upgrades	\$500,000	Diaz-Balart, Mario
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Opa-Locka, Public Works Division, Opa-Locka, FL	The City of Opa-Locka Public Works Division for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Meek (FL)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Quincy, Quincy, FL	The City of Quincy for inflow and infiltration improvements	\$440,000	Boyd
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Sunrise, Sunrise, FL	The City of Sunrise for a water reclamation system	\$1,000,000	Wasserman Schultz; Hastings (FL)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Fort Valley Utility Commission, Fort Valley, GA	Fort Valley Utility Commission for wastewater reclamation facility	\$500,000	Bishop (GA)

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District, Atlanta, GA	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District for multiple water and wastewater system improvements	\$500,000	Scott (GA); Gingrey (GA); Lewis (GA); Deal; Johnson (GA); Kingston
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Atlanta, Atlanta, GA	The City of Atlanta for sewer system infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Lewis (GA); Johnson (GA); Bishop (GA); Barrow; Scott (GA)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Crawfordville, Crawfordville, GA	The City of Crawfordville for the sewer rehabilitation	\$500,000	Barrow
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Kingsland, Kingsland, GA	The City of Kingsland for water and sewer infrastructure	\$500,000	Kingston
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Guam Waterworks Authority, Hagatna, GU	Guam Waterworks Authority for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$600,000	Bordallo
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Garner, Garner, IA	The City of Garner for wastewater treatment infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Latham
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Buhl, Buhl, ID	The City of Buhl for wastewater treatment infrastructure	\$500,000	Simpson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Naperville Heritage Society, Naperville, IL	Naperville Heritage Society, Naperville, for stormwater management at Naper Settlement	\$500,000	Biggert
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Sharpsburg and Neighboring Area Water System, Taylorville, IL	Sharpsburg and Neighboring Area Water System for infrastructure	\$500,000	Shimkus
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Buckner, Buckner, IL	The Village of Buckner for a Water Storage Tank	\$352,000	Costello

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Carol Stream, Carol Stream, IL	The Village of Carol Stream for Tubeway Drive storm water lift station rehabilitation	\$192,000	Roskam
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Hopedale, Village of Hopedale, IL	The Village of Hopedale for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	\$180,000	Schock
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Johnsburg, Johnsburg, IL	The Village of Johnsburg for wastewater treatment infrastructure	\$500,000	Bean
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Park Forest, Park Forest, IL	The Village of Park Forest for sanitary sewer infrastructure	\$500,000	Jackson (IL)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Will County, Joliet, IL	Will County for Ridgewood water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$550,000	Halvorson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Clinton County Government, Frankfort, IN	Clinton County Government for the Eastside Regional stormwater improvements	\$500,000	Buyer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Portage, Portage, IN	The City of Portage for water infrastructure improvements	\$800,000	Visclosky
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Wadesville-Blairsville Regional Sewer District, Wadesville, IN	Wadesville-Blairsville Regional Sewer District for the sanitary sewer system project	\$500,000	Ellsworth
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of DeSoto, DeSoto, KS	The City of DeSoto for water treatment infrastructure improvements at the Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant	\$500,000	Moore (KS)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Rose Hill, Rose Hill, KS	The City of Rose Hill for the Berlin Drainage Project	\$500,000	Tiaht
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Franklin County Fiscal Court, Frankfort, KY	Franklin County Fiscal Court for the Farmdale Area wastewater treatment plant	\$900,000	Chandler

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Owensboro-Daviess County Regional Water Resource Agency, Owensboro, KY	Owensboro-Daviess County Regional Water Resource Agency for the Locust Hills Subdivision sewer installation project	\$220,000	Guthrie
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Perry County Sanitation District No. 1, Hazard, KY	Perry County Sanitation District No. 1 for wastewater treatment infrastructure	\$500,000	Rogers (KY)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Paris, Paris, KY	The City of Paris for combined utilities water plan improvements	\$500,000	Chandler
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Tompkinsville, Tompkinsville, KY	The City of Tompkinsville for a water treatment plant backwash lagoon project	\$189,000	Whitfield
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Wurtland, Wurtland, KY	The City of Wurtland for the Wurtland/Greenup/Lloyd regional sewer project	\$500,000	Davis (KY)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	St. Tammany Parish, Mandeville, LA	St. Tammany Parish for Bayou Chinchuba Regional water retention	\$500,000	Scalise
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Monroe, Monroe, LA	The City of Monroe for a wastewater treatment system	\$500,000	Alexander
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Gloucester, Gloucester, MA	City of Gloucester for Essex Avenue Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade	\$500,000	Tierney
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Pioneer Valley Planning Commission, West Springfield, MA	Pioneer Valley Planning Commission for the Connecticut River CSO	\$750,000	Olver; Neal

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Cities of Fall River and New Bedford; Towns of Acushnet, Mansfield, Norton, and Foxboro, Fall River, New Bedford, Acushnet, Mansfield, Norton, and Foxboro, MA	The Cities of Fall River and New Bedford and the Towns of Acushnet, Mansfield, Norton, and Foxboro for Bristol County CSO upgrades	\$750,000	Frank (MA); McGovern
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Malden, Malden, MA	The City of Malden for citywide lead water service replacement	\$500,000	Markey (MA)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Maryland Department of the Environment, Baltimore, MD	Maryland Department of the Environment for Salisbury cast iron distribution pipe	\$500,000	Kratovil
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	The City of Rockville, Rockville, MD	The City of Rockville for sanitary sewer rehabilitation	\$750,000	Van Hollen
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Chesapeake Beach, Chesapeake Beach, MD	The Town of Chesapeake Beach for WWTP Enhanced Nutrient Removal Upgrade and Expansion	\$700,000	Hoyer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Machias, Machias, ME	The Town of Machias for sewer system upgrades	\$500,000	Michaud
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Lansing Board of Water & Light, Lansing, MI	Lansing Board of Water & Light for Lansing energy efficient drinking water system	\$500,000	Rogers (MI)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner, Waterford, MI	Oakland County Water Resources Commissioner for the Oakland Macomb Interceptor	\$500,000	Miller (MI); Levin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Detroit, DEGC, Detroit, MI	The City of Detroit DEGC for East Riverfront wastewater infrastructure	\$500,000	Kilpatrick (MI)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Grand Rapids, Grand Rapids, MI	The City of Grand Rapids for Eastside CSO separation	\$500,000	Ehlers
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Wayne County, Detroit, MI	Wayne County for the Rouge River Wet Weather Demonstration Project	\$500,000	Dingell; Peters

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Grand Rapids Public Utilities Commission, Grand Rapids, MN	Grand Rapids Public Utilities Commission for wastewater facilities improvements	\$1,000,000	Oberstar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	South Bend Township, Mankato, MN	South Bend Township for water and sewer infrastructure	\$500,000	Walz
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	McDonald County, McDonald County, MO	McDonald County for wastewater infrastructure	\$244,000	Blunt
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Maple Plain, Maple Plain, MN	The City of Maple Plain for water treatment facility infrastructure	\$500,000	Paulsen
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of East Prairie, East Prairie, MO	The City of East Prairie for stormwater and sewer infrastructure	\$200,000	Emerson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Saint Joseph, Saint Joseph, MO	The City of Saint Joseph for stormwater and wastewater infrastructure	\$500,000	Graves
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Black Bayou Water Association, Leland, MS	Black Bayou Water Association for drinking water improvements	\$250,000	Thompson (MS)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Em-Kayan County Water and Sewer, Libby, MT	Em-Kayan County Water and Sewer District for infrastructure improvements	\$290,000	Rehberg
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Raleigh, Raleigh, NC	City of Raleigh Public Utilities Department for the Dempsey E. Benton Water Treatment Plant Backwash Waste Facility	\$500,000	Miller (NC); Price (NC)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	McDowell County, Marion, NC	McDowell County for water system improvements	\$500,000	Shuler

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Cary Public Works and Utilities Department, Cary, NC	Town of Cary Public Works and Utilities Department for Western Wake regional wastewater management facility	\$1,000,000	Price (NC); Miller (NC)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Omaha, Omaha, NE	The City of Omaha for CSO controls	\$500,000	Terry
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Monmouth County, Freehold, NJ	Monmouth County for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Holt
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission, Newark, NJ	Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission for a Combined Sewage Overflow Project	\$750,000	Pascrell; Sires; Payne; Rothman (NJ)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Borough of Califon, Califon, NJ	The Borough of Califon for Railroad Ave./Main St. stormwater improvements	\$500,000	Lance
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Borough of Fort Lee, Fort Lee, NJ	The Borough of Fort Lee for CSO abatement upgrades	\$500,000	Rothman (NJ)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Borough of Hopatcong, Hopatcong, NJ	The Borough of Hopatcong for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Frelinghuysen
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Pueblo of San Felipe, San Felipe, NM	The Pueblo of San Felipe for wastewater infrastructure	\$400,000	Lujan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Lyon County Utilities, Yerington, NV	Lyon County Utilities for wastewater infrastructure improvements at Mound House	\$500,000	Heller
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Gowanus Canal Conservancy, Brooklyn, NY	Gowanus Canal Conservancy for Gowanus Canal water quality improvement	\$300,000	Velazquez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Onondaga County, Syracuse, NY	Onondaga County for storm water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000	Maffei

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Rockland Co Sewer District No. 1, Orangeburg, NY	Rockland Co. Sewer District No. 1 for Ramapo wastewater treatment	\$500,000	Engel
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Glen Cove, Glen Cove, NY	The City of Glen Cove for water and stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	King (NY)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	New York City Department of Parks and Recreation, New York, NY	The City of New York, New York City Department of Parks and Recreation for Bronx River stormwater management	\$550,000	Serrano
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Rochester, Rochester, NY	The City of Rochester for the Highland Reservoir	\$600,000	Slaughter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of White Plains, White Plains, NY	The City of White Plains for a drinking water transmission line	\$500,000	Lowey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Pendleton, Lockport, NY	The Town of Pendleton for the replacement of grinder pumps	\$500,000	Lee (NY)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Urbana, Hammondsport, NY	The Town of Urbana for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$500,000	Massa
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Saugerties, Saugerties, NY	The Village of Saugerties for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$800,000	Hinchey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Westchester Joint Water Works, Mamaroneck, NY	Westchester Joint Water Works for water main rehabilitation	\$517,000	Lowey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Butler County Commissioners, Hamilton, OH	Butler County Commissioners for the Ross Township sewer project	\$500,000	Driehaus

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Muskingum County Commissioners, Zanesville, OH	Muskingum County Commissioners for Maysville sewer improvements	\$500,000	Space
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Ottawa County, Port Clinton, OH	Ottawa County for the Ottawa County sanitary sewer project	\$500,000	Kaptur
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Ashland, Ashland, OH	The City of Ashland for a waterline replacement project	\$500,000	Boccieri
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Stow, Stow, OH	The City of Stow for sanitary sewer system infrastructure	\$500,000	LaTourette
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Vandalia, Vandalia, OH	The City of Vandalia for airport access road water and sewer extensions	\$500,000	Turner
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Worthington, Worthington, OH	The City of Worthington for sanitary sewer improvements	\$500,000	Kilroy
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Dillonvale, Dillonvale, OH	The Village of Dillonvale for water meter replacement	\$100,000	Wilson (OH)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Tiro, Tiro, OH	The Village of Tiro for a water distribution system	\$500,000	Latta
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Trumbull County Commissioners, Warren, OH	Trumbull County Commissioners for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Ryan (OH)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Lawton Ft. Sill Chamber of Commerce, Lawton, OK	Lawton Ft. Sill Chamber of Commerce for Lawton Industrial Park Expansion for Water and Sewer Line Extensions	\$500,000	Cole
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Findlay Township Municipal Authority, Clinton, PA	Findlay Township Municipal Authority for water and sewer upgrades	\$500,000	Murphy, Tim
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Haines Aaronsburg Municipal Authority, Bellefonte, PA	Haines Aaronsburg Municipal Authority for water line interconnection	\$250,000	Thompson (PA)

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Hegins-Hubley Authority, Valley View, PA	Hegins-Hubley Authority for facility improvements	\$68,000	Holden
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Lehigh County Authority, Allentown, PA	Lehigh County Authority for the Vera Cruz wastewater collection system	\$500,000	Dent
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Municipal Authority of the City of Lower Burrell, Lower Burrell, PA	Municipal Authority of the City of Lower Burrell for Wildlife Lodge Road sanitary sewer extension	\$800,000	Murtha
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Northampton, Bucks County Municipal Authority, Richboro, PA	Northampton, Bucks County Municipal Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Murphy, Patrick
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Reading, Reading, PA	The City of Reading for wastewater infrastructure improvements at Fritz's Island	\$500,000	Gerlach
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Thornbury Township, Cheyney, PA	Thornbury Township for Cheyney University/Thornbury Township wastewater treatment facility improvements	\$250,000	Sestak
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Tri-County Joint Municipal Authority, Fredrickstown, PA	Tri-County Joint Municipal Authority for water treatment infrastructure	\$393,000	Murtha
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	York City Sewer Authority, York, PA	York City Sewer Authority for headworks facility infrastructure	\$160,000	Platts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Newport, Newport, RI	The City of Newport for UV disinfection system improvements	\$500,000	Kennedy

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Rock Hill, Rock Hill, SC	The City of Rock Hill for the Phase II Hagins-Fewell Neighborhood Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$600,000	Spratt
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Coward, Coward, SC	The Town of Coward for drinking water and wastewater improvements	\$500,000	Clyburn
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Campbell County Government, Jacksboro, TN	Campbell County Government for Campbell County waterline improvements	\$500,000	Davis (TN)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Springville Utility District of Henry County, Springville, TN	Springville Utility District of Henry County for drinking water system improvements	\$500,000	Tanner
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Harrogate, Harrogate, TN	The City of Harrogate for wastewater system improvements	\$500,000	Wamp
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Andrews, Andrews, TX	The City of Andrews for Andrews arsenic filtration pilot project	\$400,000	Conaway
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Austin, Austin, TX	The City of Austin for Austin Sanitary Sewer Overflow Prevention	\$500,000	Smith (TX)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Baytown, Baytown, TX	The City of Baytown for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Poe (TX); Paul
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Crystal City, Crystal City, TX	The City of Crystal City for water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Rodriguez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Gainesville, Gainesville, TX	The City of Gainesville for the water treatment plant expansion project	\$500,000	Burgess
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Joshua, Joshua, TX	The City of Joshua for the Joshua drainage project in Johnson County	\$1,000,000	Edwards (TX)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of La Vernia, La Vernia, TX	The City of La Vernia for drinking water infrastructure	\$500,000	Cuellar

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Petersburg, Petersburg, TX	The City of Petersburg for elevated water tank replacement	\$439,000	Neugebauer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Temple, Temple, TX	The City of Temple for industrial park wastewater line and interceptor	\$500,000	Carter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Weber County, Ogden, UT	Weber County for the Weber County storm water master plan	\$500,000	Bishop (UT)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Halifax County Service Authority, Halifax, VA	Halifax County Service Authority for Maple Avenue wastewater plant upgrades	\$500,000	Perriello
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Alexandria, Alexandria, VA	The City of Alexandria for a water reuse project	\$500,000	Moran (VA)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Alexandria, Arlington County, Alexandria/Arlington, VA	The City of Alexandria, Arlington County for Four Mile Run infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Moran (VA)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Falls Church, Falls Church, VA	The City of Falls Church for storm water infrastructure	\$500,000	Moran (VA)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Jefferson County Department of Community Development, Pt. Townsend, WA	Jefferson County Department of Community Development for the Port Hadlock wastewater system	\$500,000	Dicks
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Buckley, Buckley, WA	The City of Buckley for emergency intertie booster station	\$333,000	Reichert
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Lacey, Lacey, WA	The City of Lacey for regional reclaimed water project	\$500,000	Smith (WA)

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Rock Island, Rock Island, WA	The City of Rock Island for wastewater system infrastructure	\$500,000	Hastings (WA)
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Seattle, Seattle, WA	The City of Seattle for the Magnuson Park Wetlands project	\$500,000	McDermott
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of South Bend, South Bend, WA	The City of South Bend for the Willapa Regional wastewater facilities project	\$500,000	Baird
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Tacoma, Tacoma, WA	The City of Tacoma for the Tacoma downtown sustainable storm drainage system	\$1,148,000	Dicks
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	West Sound Utility District, Port Orchard, WA	West Sound Utility District for the Port Orchard reclaimed water distribution system	\$165,000	Dicks
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Abbotsford, Abbotsford, WI	The City of Abbotsford for water treatment infrastructure	\$1,000,000	Obey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	City of Park Falls, Park Falls, WI	The City of Park Falls for sewer infrastructure	\$550,000	Obey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Athens, Athens, WI	The Village of Athens for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	\$1,000,000	Obey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Village of Stetsonville, Stetsonville, WI	The Village of Stetsonville for a public drinking water system	\$1,000,000	Obey
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	Town of Rowlesburg, Rowlesburg, WV	The Town of Rowlesburg for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Mollohan
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	Park and People Foundation, Baltimore, MD	Baltimore Urban Forestry Watershed Demonstration Cooperative Project	\$150,000	Cummings
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	Cascade Land Conservancy, Seattle, WA	Regional Urban Forestry Restoration Project	\$1,000,000	Dicks

111TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 2996

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JULY 6, 2009

Received; read twice and referred to the Committee on Appropriations

AN ACT

Making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 the Oil Spill Liability trust fund, to remain available until
2 expended.

3 STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

4 For environmental programs and infrastructure as-
5 sistance, including capitalization grants for State revolving
6 ing funds and performance partnership grants,
7 \$5,215,446,000, to remain available until expended, of
8 which \$2,307,000,000 shall be for making capitalization
9 grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under
10 title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as
11 amended (the “Act”); of which \$1,443,000,000 shall be
12 for making capitalization grants for the Drinking Water
13 State Revolving Funds under section 1452 of the Safe
14 Drinking Water Act, as amended: *Provided*, That
15 \$20,000,000 shall be for architectural, engineering, plan-
16 ning, design, construction and related activities in connec-
17 tion with the construction of high priority water and
18 wastewater facilities in the area of the United States-Mex-
19 ico border, after consultation with the appropriate border
20 commission; \$10,000,000 shall be for grants to the State
21 of Alaska to address drinking water and wastewater infra-
22 structure needs of rural and Alaska Native Villages: *Pro-*
23 *vided further*, That, of these funds: (1) the State of Alaska
24 shall provide a match of 25 percent; and (2) no more than
25 5 percent of the funds may be used for administrative and

1 overhead expenses; \$160,000,000 shall be for making spe-
2 cial project grants for the construction of drinking water,
3 wastewater and storm water infrastructure and for water
4 quality protection in accordance with the terms and condi-
5 tions specified for such grants in the explanatory state-
6 ment accompanying this Act, and, for purposes of these
7 grants, each grantee shall contribute not less than 45 per-
8 cent of the cost of the project unless the grantee is ap-
9 proved for a waiver by the Agency; \$100,000,000 shall be
10 to carry out section 104(k) of the Comprehensive Environ-
11 mental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of
12 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including grants, inter-
13 agency agreements, and associated program support costs;
14 \$60,000,000 shall be for grants under title VII, subtitle
15 G of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, as amended; and
16 \$1,115,446,000 shall be for grants, including associated
17 program support costs, to States, federally recognized
18 tribes, interstate agencies, tribal consortia, and air pollu-
19 tion control agencies for multi-media or single media pol-
20 lution prevention, control and abatement and related ac-
21 tivities, including activities pursuant to the provisions set
22 forth under this heading in Public Law 104–134, and for
23 making grants under section 103 of the Clean Air Act for
24 particulate matter monitoring and data collection activi-
25 ties subject to terms and conditions specified by the Ad-

EPA Citation -
State and Tribal
Assistance Grants
Citation in the
House Passed Bill

1 administrator, of which \$49,495,000 shall be for carrying
2 out section 128 of CERCLA, as amended, \$10,000,000
3 shall be for Environmental Information Exchange Net-
4 work grants, including associated program support costs,
5 \$18,500,000 of the funds available for grants under sec-
6 tion 106 of the Act shall be for water quality monitoring
7 activities, \$10,000,000 shall be for competitive grants to
8 communities to develop plans and demonstrate and imple-
9 ment projects which reduce greenhouse gas emissions,
10 and, in addition to funds appropriated under the heading
11 “Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Pro-
12 gram” to carry out the provisions of the Solid Waste Dis-
13 posal Act specified in section 9508(c) of the Internal Rev-
14 enue Code other than section 9003(h) of the Solid Waste
15 Disposal Act, as amended, \$2,500,000 shall be for grants
16 to States under section 2007(f)(2) of the Solid Waste Dis-
17 posal Act, as amended: *Provided further*, That notwith-
18 standing section 603(d)(7) of the Federal Water Pollution
19 Control Act, the limitation on the amounts in a State
20 water pollution control revolving fund that may be used
21 by a State to administer the fund shall not apply to
22 amounts included as principal in loans made by such fund
23 in fiscal year 2010 and prior years where such amounts
24 represent costs of administering the fund to the extent
25 that such amounts are or were deemed reasonable by the

1 Administrator, accounted for separately from other assets
2 in the fund, and used for eligible purposes of the fund,
3 including administration: *Provided further*, That for fiscal
4 year 2010, and notwithstanding section 518(f) of the Act,
5 the Administrator is authorized to use the amounts appro-
6 priated for any fiscal year under section 319 of that Act
7 to make grants to federally recognized Indian tribes pur-
8 suant to sections 319(h) and 518(e) of that Act: *Provided*
9 *further*, That for fiscal year 2010, notwithstanding the
10 limitation on amounts in section 518(c) of the Federal
11 Water Pollution Control Act and section 1452(i) of the
12 Safe Drinking Water Act, up to a total of 2 percent of
13 the funds appropriated for State Revolving Funds under
14 such Acts may be reserved by the Administrator for grants
15 under section 518(c) and section 1452(i) of such Acts:
16 *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2010, in addition
17 to the amounts specified in section 205(c) of the Federal
18 Water Pollution Control Act, up to 1.2486 percent of the
19 funds appropriated for the Clean Water State Revolving
20 Fund program under the Act may be reserved by the Ad-
21 ministrator for grants made under title II of the Clean
22 Water Act for American Samoa, Guam, the Common-
23 wealth of the Northern Marianas, and United States Vir-
24 gin Islands: *Provided further*, That for fiscal year 2010,
25 notwithstanding the limitations on amounts specified in

1 section 1452(j) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, up to 1.5
2 percent of the funds appropriated for the Drinking Water
3 State Revolving Fund programs under the Safe Drinking
4 Water Act may be reserved by the Administrator for
5 grants made under section 1452(j) of the Safe Drinking
6 Water Act: *Provided further*, That no funds provided by
7 this appropriations Act to address the water, wastewater
8 and other critical infrastructure needs of the colonias in
9 the United States along the United States-Mexico border
10 shall be made available to a county or municipal govern-
11 ment unless that government has established an enforce-
12 able local ordinance, or other zoning rule, which prevents
13 in that jurisdiction the development or construction of any
14 additional colonia areas, or the development within an ex-
15 isting colonia the construction of any new home, business,
16 or other structure which lacks water, wastewater, or other
17 necessary infrastructure.

18 ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL

19 PROTECTION AGENCY

20 (INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

21 For fiscal year 2010, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C.
22 6303(1) and 6305(1), the Administrator of the Environ-
23 mental Protection Agency, in carrying out the Agency's
24 function to implement directly Federal environmental pro-
25 grams required or authorized by law in the absence of an